The uptake of methanol at the air–liquid interface of 0–96.5 wt % sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) solutions has been observed directly using vibrational sum frequency generation (VSFG) spectroscopy. As the concentration of H₂SO₄ increases, the VSFG spectra reveal a surface reaction between methanol and H₂SO₄ to form methyl hydrogen sulfate. The surface is saturated with the methyl species after 15 min. The uptake of methyl species into the solutions by Raman spectroscopy was also observed and occurred on a much longer time scale. This suggests that uptake of methanol by sulfuric acid solutions is diffusion-limited.

**Introduction**

Oxygenated compounds dominate the organic species of the upper troposphere with methanol making up half of all oxygenated organic compound emissions.¹² Methanol concentrations up to 1100 ppt have been measured in the remote Pacific troposphere³–⁵ and in Asian plumes,⁶ while tropospheric concentrations in urban regions are much higher (> 20 000 pptv).³ Due to its much longer lifetime than other compounds such as isoprene methanol is transported from the boundary layer (lifetime = 1 week) to the upper troposphere (lifetime = few weeks) and lower stratosphere.²⁷ Plants are the largest single source of methanol emissions to the atmosphere (37–212 Tg/yr)¹³ with 67% of emissions from plant growth.¹ Recent continental measurements have shown a diurnal cycle for methanol emissions;⁸,¹⁰ Rural measurements also show a correlation between methanol emissions and temperature with the lowest emissions recorded during the coldest period of the year. Together, these measurements strongly suggest that biogenic emissions are very important.

Tropical regions are found to be a major contributor to global annual biogenic emissions.¹² Biomass burning plumes are estimated to emit 29–35 Tg CH₃OH/year.¹³ In plumes over the Mediterranean, methanol attributed to combustion⁶ and methanol found in aged plumes may suggest that oxidation of methane is an important atmospheric source of methanol.¹⁴ However, methanol emissions from biomass burning plumes can be highly variable, suggesting that factors other than hydroxyl reaction with methane determine the methanol concentrations in these plumes.¹⁵ Measurements of methanol in North Atlantic marine air¹⁴ indicate that oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) is likely to contribute to the observed methanol concentrations several days from the point of emission.

The main tropospheric reaction sink for methanol is its reaction with hydroxyl radical.³ The ocean is also found to be a slight net sink since the marine boundary layer has slightly lower methanol concentrations than the rest of the troposphere.¹⁵,¹⁶ However, there are still large discrepancies between the sources and sinks of methanol.¹⁵

Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) is the predominant aerosol component in the free troposphere.¹⁸ Flight measurements in the troposphere found H₂SO₄ concentrations of 10⁴–10⁷ molecules cm⁻³ in the upper troposphere to the boundary layer.¹⁹ Although atmospheric sulfur is primarily from anthropogenic sources, sulfate aerosols are distributed throughout both polluted and remote areas. Sulfuric acid is formed from oxidation of sulfur dioxide, a fossil fuel combustion product whose global emissions are estimated at (130–180) Tg of S/yr²⁰ and also from the oxidation of dimethyl sulfide.²¹ At the low temperatures found in the upper troposphere, H₂SO₄ can condense onto preexisting aerosols or nucleate with H₂O to form new aerosols.²¹–²³ It is thought that H₂SO₄→H₂O nucleation could be responsible for some new particle formation in the marine boundary layer.²⁴ H₂SO₄ is also involved in nucleation in the remote troposphere. At lower altitudes, H₂SO₄→H₂O nucleation may involve other species, including NH₃.²⁵ Ammonia is the dominant basic species present in the troposphere and is capable of neutralizing these H₂SO₄ aerosols.²⁶ While studies show that tropospheric aerosols contain a sizable organic fraction,²⁷ volatile organic compounds are more likely to condense on preexisting particles, not nucleate new particles.²⁸,²⁹ The organic matter present in tropospheric aerosols is a complex mixture of acidic oxygenated compounds,²⁷ so the uptake of small oxidized organics such as methanol by sulfate aerosols may be a mechanism for aerosol growth³⁰ and may affect the properties of atmospheric aerosols.³¹

In this study, the uptake of methanol by H₂SO₄ solutions ranging from 0 to 96.5 wt % is investigated. First the uptake at the air–liquid interface of H₂SO₄ solutions was investigated using vibrational sum frequency generation spectroscopy (VSFG). The diffusion of methanol into sulfuric acid solutions was monitored using Raman spectroscopy. Finally, the detection limit of methyl hydrogen sulfate (MHS) in H₂SO₄ solutions was determined.

**Experimental Section**

**Vibrational Sum Frequency Generation Spectroscopy.** VSFG is an interface selective technique. It is a second-order nonlinear optical probe sensitive to environments lacking inversion symmetry. It has been used to study, among others, solid and liquid surfaces with atmospheric relevance.³²–³⁶ VSFG is sensitive to both the number density and the molecular orientation of the molecules at the interface. Thorough treatments of VSFG theory can be found in the literature³⁷–⁴⁰ and a brief introduction is given here.
The intensity of the SFG signal, \( I_{SFG} \), is proportional to the absolute square of the macroscopic nonlinear susceptibility, \( \chi^{(2)} \), and to the intensity of the infrared and 800 nm incident beams, as shown in eq 1

\[
I_{SFG} \propto |\chi^{(2)}|^2 I(\omega_{IR}) I(\omega_{800}) \tag{1}
\]

The macroscopic nonlinear susceptibility, \( \chi^{(2)} \), is described by a nonresonant term, \( \chi_{NR}^{(2)} \), and the sum of the resonant terms, \( \chi_{res}^{(2)} \), as shown in eq 2

\[
|\chi|^2 = |\chi_{NR}^{(2)}|^2 + \sum \chi_{res}^{(2)} \tag{2}
\]

When the frequency of the incident infrared beam is resonant with a vibrational mode, \( \nu \), then the resonant term dominates the nonlinear susceptibility. The resonant susceptibility term, \( \chi_{res}^{(2)} \), is related to the number density of the surface species and to the molecular hyperpolarizability, \( \beta \), through the orientationally averaged Euler angle transformation, \( \langle \mu_{JK,lm} \rangle \), between the laboratory coordinates (\( IJK \)) and the molecular coordinates (\( lmn \)), as shown in eq 3

\[
\chi_{res}^{(2)} = N \sum_{lm} \langle \mu_{JK,lm} \rangle \beta_{lmn} \tag{3}
\]

The molecular hyperpolarizability is proportional to the infrared transition moment, \( \mu \), and the Raman polarizability tensor, \( \alpha \), showing that SFG active modes must be both Raman- and IR-active as shown in eq 4

\[
\beta_{lmn} = \frac{-\mu_n^{\alpha} (\alpha_m^{\omega})}{2R(\nu_n - \nu_m) + i\Gamma_{\nu_n}} \tag{4}
\]

From eq 4, the resonant macroscopic nonlinear susceptibility is shown in eq 5

\[
\chi_{res}^{(2)} \propto \frac{A_{\nu}}{\nu_m - \nu_n + i\Gamma_{\nu}} \tag{5}
\]

In the above equation, \( A_{\nu} \) is the strength of the transition moment and \( \omega_{IR} \) is the frequency of the transition.

From eqs 4 and 5, it becomes clear that only noncentrosymmetric systems, such as the air–liquid interface, will be SFG-active. When the frequency of a vibrational mode, \( \nu_n \), is resonant with the infrared frequency, \( \nu_{IR} \), the denominator in eq 5 becomes small, and \( \beta_{lmn} \), and therefore \( \chi_{res}^{(2)} \), will be enhanced. A VSFG spectrum results from the nonlinear response over the frequency range probed.

**BBSFG Instrumentation and Experimental Details.** Details of the broad bandwidth SFG (BBSFG) system can be found in previous publications. Briefly, two 1 kHz repetition rate of the broad bandwidth SFG (BBSFG) system can be found in frequency range probed. A VSFG spectrum results from the nonlinear response over the absolute square of the macroscopic nonlinear susceptibility, \( \chi^{(2)} \), by a nonresonant term, \( \chi_{NR}^{(2)} \), and the sum of the resonant terms, \( \chi_{res}^{(2)} \), as shown in eq 2

\[
|\chi|^2 = |\chi_{NR}^{(2)}|^2 + \sum \chi_{res}^{(2)} \tag{2}
\]

When the frequency of the incident infrared beam is resonant with a vibrational mode, \( \nu \), then the resonant term dominates the nonlinear susceptibility. The resonant susceptibility term, \( \chi_{res}^{(2)} \), is related to the number density of the surface species and to the molecular hyperpolarizability, \( \beta \), through the orientationally averaged Euler angle transformation, \( \langle \mu_{JK,lm} \rangle \), between the laboratory coordinates (\( IJK \)) and the molecular coordinates (\( lmn \)), as shown in eq 3

\[
\chi_{res}^{(2)} = N \sum_{lm} \langle \mu_{JK,lm} \rangle \beta_{lmn} \tag{3}
\]

The molecular hyperpolarizability is proportional to the infrared transition moment, \( \mu \), and the Raman polarizability tensor, \( \alpha \), showing that SFG active modes must be both Raman- and IR-active as shown in eq 4

\[
\beta_{lmn} = \frac{-\mu_n^{\alpha} (\alpha_m^{\omega})}{2R(\nu_n - \nu_m) + i\Gamma_{\nu_n}} \tag{4}
\]

From eq 4, the resonant macroscopic nonlinear susceptibility is shown in eq 5

\[
\chi_{res}^{(2)} \propto \frac{A_{\nu}}{\nu_m - \nu_n + i\Gamma_{\nu}} \tag{5}
\]

In the above equation, \( A_{\nu} \) is the strength of the transition moment and \( \omega_{IR} \) is the frequency of the transition.

From eqs 4 and 5, it becomes clear that only noncentrosymmetric systems, such as the air–liquid interface, will be SFG-active. When the frequency of a vibrational mode, \( \nu_n \), is resonant with the infrared frequency, \( \nu_{IR} \), the denominator in eq 5 becomes small, and \( \beta_{lmn} \), and therefore \( \chi_{res}^{(2)} \), will be enhanced. A VSFG spectrum results from the nonlinear response over the frequency range probed.

**BBSFG Instrumentation and Experimental Details.** Details of the broad bandwidth SFG (BBSFG) system can be found in previous publications. Briefly, two 1 kHz repetition rate regenerative amplifiers (Spectra Physics Spitfire, femtosecond 800F) to produce a broad bandwidth, infrared pulse. The VSFG spectra are normalized against a smoothed (Savitzky-Golay, second order, 11 points) nonresonant VSFG spectrum from a GaAs crystal to remove any structure present in the IR pulse profile. The dips in a polystyrene-GaAs spectrum are used to calibrate the wavenumber position for each set of experiments.

**Raman Spectroscopy.** Raman spectra were obtained using 150 mW from a 785 nm continuous wave laser (Raman Systems Inc.) The backscattered light is collected by a fiber optic probe (InPhotons) coupled to the entrance slit of a 500 mm monochromator (Acton Research, SpectroPro 500i), using a 600 groove/mm grating blazed at 1 μm. The entrance slit width was set at 50 μm and the bandpass, limited by the CCD pixel effective slit width, was measured to be 3 cm⁻¹. In the uptake experiments, spectra were collected as the average of three one-minute exposures every ten minutes for several hours with a liquid nitrogen-cooled CCD camera (Roper Scientific, LN400EB, 1340 × 400 pixel array, back-illuminated and deep depletion CCD). The laser shutter is controlled electronically (Princeton Instruments, ST-133 Controller) to only open during collection to prevent sample heating during the experiment. For the protonation experiments, the laser shutter was opened manually and spectra were collected as the average of three one-minute exposures. Calibration of the CCD camera was completed using the 435.833 nm line from a fluorescent lamp. Acquiring a spectrum of crystalline naphthalene and comparing the experimental peak positions with the accepted literature values completed calibration of the wavenumber position for each set of experiments.

**FTIR Spectroscopy.** A Thermo Nicolet FTIR spectrometer (Avatar 370, Thermo Electron Corporation) was employed to determine the concentration of methanol in the flow experiments. The \( \text{N}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) mixture flowed at 25 standard cubic centimeters per minute (SCCM) into an open-ended cell placed in the FTIR sample compartment. Spectra were collected with a spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 200 scans. A background spectrum was taken and subtracted from each sample spectrum. The gas-phase concentration of methanol was determined by FTIR using the absorbance of the \( \text{CO-ss} \) at 1052 cm⁻¹ with equation 6.

\[
T = \exp[-k c_{in} l] \tag{6}
\]

\( T \) is the infrared transmission. The molar naperian absorption coefficient, \( k \) (cm²/mole), is calculated according to equation 7.

\[
T = \frac{S N_A}{\Delta \nu} \tag{7}
\]

The absorption line intensity, \( S \), for methanol is 3.77 × 10⁻²⁰ cm⁻¹/(molecule cm⁻²) at 1052.16845 cm⁻¹. \( N_A \) is 6.022 × 10²³ mole⁻¹, and \( \Delta \nu \) is assumed to be 0.1 cm⁻¹. The concentration of methanol, \( c_{in} \), with an \( \text{N}_2 \) flow rate of 25 SCCM
was determined to be \( (2.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-7} \) mol/cm\(^3\) or \( (1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{17} \) molecules/cm\(^3\).

**Chemicals.** Methanol (HPLC grade, Fisher Scientific), sulfuric acid (redistilled, 96.5 wt %, GFS), ammonium hydrogen-sulfate (98%, Aldrich), and nitrogen gas (N.F., min. 99% purity) were used as received. Deionized water was obtained from a Millipore Nanopure system (18.1–18.2 MΩ·cm).

The sulfuric acid solutions were prepared by diluting 96.5 wt % sulfuric acid with deionized water. The concentrations used in the Raman uptake experiments were \( (79.7 \pm 0.3) \) wt %, \( (61.6 \pm 0.1) \) wt %, and \( (39.2 \pm 0.1) \) wt %. For the VSFG uptake experiments the \( H_2SO_4 \) solution concentrations were \( (80.5 \pm 0.1) \) wt %, \( (57.24 \pm 0.02) \) wt %, and \( (42.2 \pm 0.5) \) wt %. The differences in \( H_2SO_4 \) concentrations used in the VSFG versus the Raman experiments are due to inherent variations in solution preparation. The concentrations were determined to \( \pm 0.1 \) wt % by titration with a standardized sodium hydroxide solution. To prepare the ammonium hydrogen sulfate solution the salt was dissolved in deionized water and filtered twice through a carbon filter (Carbon-Cap 75, Whatman). The concentration of \( NH_4HSO_4 \) solution prepared was \( \approx 0.1 \) M, which was determined spectroscopically using the sulfate vibrational mode at 985 cm\(^{-1}\). This concentration of \( NH_4HSO_4 \) solution was equivalent in the amount of sulfate used in the VSFG experiments. The concentrations of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) were determined using mass spectrometry to monitor changes in the gas-phase methanol concentration.

**Uptake Experiments.** In the VSFG uptake experiments, nitrogen is bubbled into methanol at a flow rate of 25 SCCM (Mass Flow Controller 1479A51CS1BM, powered by PRF4000-F2VIN, MKS Instruments). A three-way valve connects the \( N_2/CH_3OH \) mixture flow to the experimental cell (made of Teflon) and to an exhaust line. Prior to the start of an experiment, the mixture flows to the exhaust line. The mixture is allowed to flow into the cell for the duration of the experiments. Between VSFG uptake experiments, the \( N_2 \) line is connected directly to the cell for 10 min to flush the cell of any gaseous species. In the Raman uptake experiments, the three-way valve is not used, and instead, the \( N_2/CH_3OH \) mixture flows to the exhaust line. Prior to the start of an experiment, the cell for 10 min to flush the cell of any gaseous species. In our previous investigation, the cell for 10 min to flush the cell of any gaseous species. In 42.2 wt % \( H_2SO_4 \), the two peaks present at different \( H_2SO_4 \) concentrations.

**Protonation Experiments.** To observe the extent of MHS formation as a function of acidity, seven different \( H_2SO_4 \) wt % solutions from 47.1 to 68.3 wt % were prepared. To (5.00 \( \pm 0.01) \) mL of each solution, (1.000 \( \pm 0.005) \) mL of \( CH_3OH \) was added, and the solutions were stirred overnight to ensure complete mixing. The Raman spectra were then obtained.

**Results and Discussion.**

A previous study by the authors investigated the reaction between methanol and 96.6 wt % sulfuric acid \( (H_2SO_4) \) to form methyl hydrogen sulfate (MHS).\(^{35}\) The experiments were performed by obtaining the VSFG or Raman spectrum after complete mixing occurred. Here the formation of MHS or protonated methanol is investigated in lower wt % \( H_2SO_4 \) solutions in real time. Previous investigations by others\(^{47,48}\) of the uptake of \( CH_3OH \) by \( H_2SO_4 \) solutions were performed using mass spectrometry to monitor changes in the gas-phase methanol concentration. Using VSFG we are able to monitor spectral changes at the air—liquid interface of the sulfuric acid solutions to determine the methanol species \( (CH_3OH, CH_3OH_2^+, \) or MHS) present at different \( H_2SO_4 \) concentrations.

**VSFG Experiments.** The ssp polarization VSFG spectra of the uptake of methanol at the surface of \( H_2SO_4 \) solutions are shown in Figure 1a–d. One-minute spectra were acquired continuously during the surface uptake experiments. Figure 1e compares the final VSFG spectra obtained for each wt % \( H_2SO_4 \) solution, the \( H_2O \) solution, and the \( NH_4HSO_4 \) solution after the \( N_2/CH_3OH \) flow was stopped. The VSFG spectrum of \( CH_3OH \) on water agrees with the known spectrum.\(^{42}\) Ammonium is the predominant basic gas found in the troposphere and is capable of neutralizing acidic sulfate aerosols.\(^{26}\) \( NH_4HSO_4 \) is slightly acidic and for this reason, an \( NH_4HSO_4 \) solution was used to determine if a reaction between methanol and bisulfate to form MHS will occur. As shown in the reaction sequence below,\(^{49}\) the solution must be acidic for the formation of methyl sulfate species.

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2SO_4 + H_2O & \rightleftharpoons HSO_4^- + H_3O^+ \\
HSO_4^- + H_2O & \rightleftharpoons SO_4^{2-} + H_2O \\
ROH + H_2O & \rightleftharpoons ROH_2^+ + H_2O \\
HSO_4^- + ROH + H_3O^+ & \rightleftharpoons ROH_2SO_3H + 2H_2O \\
ROSO_3H + H_2O & \rightleftharpoons ROSO_3^- + H_3O^+ \\
ROSO_3H_2 + ROH & \rightleftharpoons (RO)\text{H}_2SO_3 + H_2O
\end{align*}
\]

The VSFG spectra of \( H_2O \) and 0.78 M \( NH_4HSO_4 \) solution show the presence of CH\(_3\)OH at the surface after the uptake experiment. No CH\(_3\)OH peaks were observed for the \( H_2O \) and 0.78 M \( NH_4HSO_4 \) solutions during the flow experiment. This is attributed to CH\(_3\)OH in the vapor phase absorbing the IR radiation at the same wavenumbers as the surface CH\(_3\)OH. In Figure 1 (a–d), it is observed that peaks attributable to CH\(_3\)OH or MHS were first detectable after 4 to 6 min. No further increase in VSFG signal intensity was observed after 14 to 16 min, suggesting that the surface is fully saturated with CH\(_3\)OH or MHS molecules. Absorption of the IR radiation by vapor phase CH\(_3\)OH makes the spectral intensity weaker in Figure 1a–d than the final VSFG spectra shown in Figure 1e.

There are three vibrational modes observed in the CH region of the aqueous \( CH_3OH \) VSFG spectrum in Figure 1e, the \( \nu CH_3-ss \) at 2843 cm\(^{-1}\) and two Fermi resonances (FR) at 2925 and 2953 cm\(^{-1}\), in agreement with previous VSFG studies.\(^{42,50,51}\) The observed peak positions change with changing \( H_2SO_4 \) concentration due to speciation (\( CH_3OH, CH_3OH_2^+, \) MHS). The peak positions in each \( H_2SO_4 \) solution are found in Table 1. For each of the four \( H_2SO_4 \) concentrations investigated (Figure 1a–d), no shifts from the initial peak positions are observed during the flow experiments. However, there are differences in peak positions between \( H_2SO_4 \) solutions, as is seen in Figure 1a–e. The peak positions shift to higher wavenumber with increasing \( H_2SO_4 \) concentration. Again, in aqueous solution the \( CH_3OH \) \( \nu CH_3-ss \) and FR are found at 2843 cm\(^{-1}\) and two Fermi resonances (FR) at 2925 and 2953 cm\(^{-1}\), in agreement with previous VSFG studies.\(^{42,50,51}\) The observed peak positions change with changing \( H_2SO_4 \) concentration due to speciation (\( CH_3OH, CH_3OH_2^+, \) MHS). The peak positions in each \( H_2SO_4 \) solution are found in Table 1. For each of the four \( H_2SO_4 \) concentrations investigated (Figure 1a–d), no shifts from the initial peak positions are observed during the flow experiments. However, there are differences in peak positions between \( H_2SO_4 \) solutions, as is seen in Figure 1a–e. The peak positions shift to higher wavenumber with increasing \( H_2SO_4 \) concentration. Again, in aqueous solution the \( CH_3OH \) \( \nu CH_3-ss \) and FR are found at 2843 cm\(^{-1}\) and two Fermi resonances (FR) at 2925 and 2953 cm\(^{-1}\), in agreement with previous VSFG studies.\(^{42,50,51}\)
VSFG spectrum of protonated methanol, CH$_3$OH$^+$, showed a shift in the $\nu_{\text{CH}_3\text{-ss}}$ to 2851 cm$^{-1}$, consistent with the shift observed here from 0 wt % (H$_2$O) to 42.2 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$. In the more concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ solutions, methanol and sulfuric acid are also reacting to form MHS. This is observed as an increase in intensity of the peak observed at 2972 cm$^{-1}$, attributed to the $\nu_{\text{CH}_3\text{-ss}}$ of MHS. The spectrum in 96.5 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ is in agreement with our previous investigation of the formation of MHS in concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$.

Table 1: Observed Peak Positions for Two Peaks in the VSFG ssp Spectra and Raman Spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>CH$<em>3$OH $\nu</em>{\text{CH}_3\text{-ss}}$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>CH$<em>3$OH FR and MHS $\nu</em>{\text{CH}_3\text{-ss}}$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Raman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96.5 wt %</td>
<td>2858</td>
<td>2972</td>
<td>79.7 wt %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.5 wt %</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>2967</td>
<td>61.6 wt %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.2 wt %</td>
<td>2851</td>
<td>2960</td>
<td>39.2 wt %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.2 wt %</td>
<td>2846</td>
<td>2957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 wt % (water)</td>
<td>2843</td>
<td>2954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78 M NH$_4$HSO$_4$</td>
<td>2843</td>
<td>2954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the peak positions in Figure 1a–d are not changing during each individual flow experiment, the reaction between CH$_3$OH and H$_2$SO$_4$ must be occurring under the spectral acquisition time of 60 s at the air–liquid interface. The first detectable signal occurs in the 4 min spectrum (3–4 min spectrum, 60 s signal accumulation). Using a diffusion coefficient of $(3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$/s for methanol into 61.6 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$, and knowing the mean square displacement is $2D_t$, then the total distance CH$_3$OH molecules can travel is 0.02 cm into the bulk solution. During this time, it may be possible for a reaction to occur within the top 0.01 cm of the solution, and the reacted species to return to the solution surface. However, if the reaction were occurring on a similar time scale as our signal collection, we would expect to see the initial CH$_3$OH spectral peaks shift as CH$_3$OH was protonated by H$_2$SO$_4$ to form MHS.
intensities, consistent with the VSFG spectra of CH₃OH in w t%H₂SO₄. For this reason it was of interest to determine at the overlap of H₂SO₄ peaks with the CH₃OH C=O stretch, and then the surfaces species must diffuse into the bulk. (Details of methanol diffusion experiments are found in a companion paper currently in preparation.) The peak shifts are noticeably different for the H₂O, NH₄HSO₄, and 42.2 wt % H₂SO₄ solution spectra are consistent with the VSFG spectra of CH₃OH in H₂O, NH₄HSO₄, and 42.2 wt % H₂SO₄ solution spectra in Figure 1e, the peaks at 2843 and 2955 cm⁻¹ have similar intensities, consistent with the VSFG spectra of CH₃OH in H₂O and in HCl. In the more concentrated solutions, the peak present at 2970 cm⁻¹ is more intense than the one at 2854 cm⁻¹ again confirming that the surface methyl species is changed from CH₃OH to CH₃OH₂⁺ and MHS. This reversal in relative intensities was previously noted for MHS in 96.5 wt % H₂SO₄.

Raman Experiments. The complementary flow experiments monitoring the uptake of methanol or MHS into the H₂SO₄ solutions were performed using Raman spectroscopy. The Raman spectra were collected as one-minute acquisitions every ten minutes during the uptake experiments. The spectra showing the uptake of CH₃OH into the H₂SO₄ solutions are shown in Figure 2a–e. The uptake of CH₃OH into H₂O was monitored by the appearance of the ν₁ at 1020 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2b). The appearance of the ν₁ at 790 cm⁻¹ was monitored for the appearance of MHS in 96.5 wt % H₂SO₄ (Figure 2a). Because of the overlap of H₂SO₄ peaks with the CH₃OH C=O stretch, the uptake of CH₃OH into 39.2, 61.6, and 79.7 wt % H₂SO₄ was monitored in the CH stretching region (2700–3100 cm⁻¹) where no H₂SO₄ peaks are observed (Figure 2c–e). It is important to note that the peaks appear at much later times in the Raman spectra than in the VSFG experiments. The VSFG experiments were conducted on a time scale of minutes while the Raman experiments were done over several hours. Unlike for the surface uptake experiments, the peak intensities in the bulk solutions continued to increase.

As in the surface uptake experiments, the peak positions in each solvent do not shift with time (Figure 2) suggesting that the methyl species present in each solvent do not appear to change during the course of the experiments. From the VSFG experiments it appears that the reaction is occurring at the interface, and then the surfaces species must diffuse into the bulk. (Details of methanol diffusion experiments are found in a companion paper currently in preparation.) The peak shifts and changes in relative intensities are the same as those observed in the VSFG experiments. This indicates that the species present in each solution are the same at the air–liquid interface and in bulk solution.

Protonation versus Reaction. In order for particles to grow through the uptake of volatile oxygenated compounds such as methanol, the compound must condense on the aerosol and its volatility is then reduced. Figure 1e shows the final air–liquid interface spectra of the solutions studied here. The H₂O and 42.2 wt % H₂SO₄ solution spectra are consistent with the known CH₃OH spectrum, suggesting that MHS is not formed in 42.2 wt % H₂SO₄. For this reason it was of interest to determine at what wt % H₂SO₄ concentration, MHS forms and is detected. The Raman spectra of seven different wt % H₂SO₄ solutions to which CH₃OH was initially added and allowed to react are shown in Figure 3a.

The expected equilibrium extents of protonation and esterification of methanol in 47.1–68.3 wt % H₂SO₄ were calculated using a pK⁺ of −2.05. The expected distributions of CH₃OH, CH₃OH₂⁺, and MHS are summarized in Table 2. (After the work presented in ref 35 was published, it came to our attention that pK⁺ of −4.4 to −4.86 are more accepted. The experiments presented in ref 56 with pK⁺ of ~2 are more accepted. The fact that these two different values for pK⁺ have been determined may indicate the difficulty in determining the acidity function in solutions when partial esterification occurs. To calculate the extent of MHS formation in 47.1–68.3 wt % H₂SO₄ solutions, values for K_eq were determined by plotting
the known values of $K_{eq}$ as a function of wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ (Further details are presented in the Supporting Information section as well as a comparison of the results using a $pK_B\text{H}^+$ value of $-4.86$.) In the Raman spectra of the solutions of CH$_3$OH in 47.1–68.3 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ presented in Figure 3a, the formation of MHS is detected by the appearance of $\nu_{\text{O-S-O-ss}}$ at 790 cm$^{-1}$. Figure 3b shows the $\nu_{\text{O-S-O-ss}}$ region expanded to better determine the first appearance of $\nu_{\text{O-S-O-ss}}$. In 47.1 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$, it is calculated that 11.4% of the methyl species is MHS (Table 2). In 51.4 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$, 14.2% of the methyl species is MHS. Since the $\nu_{\text{O-S-O-ss}}$ is not easily visible in the 47.1 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ solution, the detection limit of MHS using Raman spectroscopy is determined to be 11–14.2%.

It is also of interest to examine any changes in the CH stretching region that occur with increasing wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ and MHS formation (Figure 3c). With increasing wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ (and increasing MHS formation), the CH$_3$OH $\nu_{\text{CH$_3$-ss}}$ decreases in intensity. It is possible to compare the changes in peak intensity with the calculated changes in the distributions of CH$_3$OH, CH$_3$OH$_2^+$, and MHS with changing wt % H$_2$SO$_4$. For example, the decrease in intensity (23%) of the 2850 cm$^{-1}$ peak from the 47.1 wt % solution to the 68.3 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ solution, Figure 3c, is consistent with the observed decrease in the calculated CH$_3$OH fraction, Table 2. With increasing MHS formation, the peaks in the CH stretching region shift to higher wavenumber (Figure 3c) and Figure 3c. The increase in intensity in the peaks observed at 2970 and 3015 cm$^{-1}$ is due to the appearance of the MHS $\nu_{\text{CH$_3$-ss}}$ attributed to the increase in MHS concentration with a MHS $\nu_{\text{CH$_3$-ss}}$ centered at 2970 cm$^{-1}$.

These findings suggest that in atmospheric aerosols containing 60+ wt % H$_2$SO$_4$, 20% of the methanol that adsorbs to the surface will be transformed into the much less volatile species, MHS. Newly formed, highly acidic aerosols will be expected to experience aerosol growth from the uptake of methanol. As acidic sulfate aerosols in the troposphere age and water and ammonia condense onto them, reducing the acidity, less conversion to MHS will occur.

**Conclusions**

In this study, the uptake of methanol, both at the surface of and into water and sulfuric acid solutions, was observed directly

**TABLE 2: The Calculated Equilibrium Distribution of Methyl Species in 47.1–68.3 wt % H$_2$SO$_4$ Solutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wt % H$_2$SO$_4$</th>
<th>% CH$_3$OH</th>
<th>% CH$_3$OH$_2^+$</th>
<th>% MHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that volatile organic compounds are able to condense onto
concentrations, the reaction between methanol and sulfuric acid
is limited by diffusion of the methyl species into the
solutions. Spectral surface-saturation by methanol is observed,
while saturation in the bulk solutions is not. This indicates that
the surface and in the bulk. Uptake of methanol by sulfuric acid

can contribute to aerosol growth.

Abbreviations Used
Chemical abbreviations used in this paper are MHS (methyl
hydrogen sulfate), which refers to both protonated (CH₃OSO₃H)
and unprotonated (CH₃OSO₃⁻) methyl sulfate. In addition,
vibrational sum frequency generation (VSFG), broad bandwidth
SFG (BBSFG), symmetric stretch (ss), asymmetric stretch (as),
and Fermi resonance (FR) are used.
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