Cooperative RNP assembly: Complementary rescue of structural defects by protein and RNA subunits of archaeal RNase P
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Abstract

RNase P is a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex that utilizes a Mg²⁺-dependent RNA catalyst to cleave the 5′-leader of precursor tRNAs (pre-tRNAs) and generate mature tRNAs. The bacterial RNase P protein (RPP) aids RNase P RNA (RPR) catalysis by promoting substrate binding, Mg²⁺ coordination, and product release. Archaeal RNase P comprises an RPR and at least four RPPs, which have eukaryal homologs and function as two binary complexes (POP5•RPP30 and RPP21•RPP29). In this study, we employed a previously characterized substrate-enzyme conjugate [pre-tRNA⁵⁺⁴⁺₅⁺yth-Methanocaldococcus jannaschii (Mja) RPR] to investigate the functional role of a universally conserved uridine in a bulge-helix structure in archaeal RPRs. Deletion of this bulged uridine resulted in an 80-fold decrease in the self-cleavage rate of pre-tRNA⁵⁺⁴⁺₅⁺yth-MjaΔU RPR compared to the wildtype, and this defect was partially ameliorated upon addition of either RPP pair. The catalytic defect in the archaeal mutant RPR mirrors that reported in a bacterial RPR and highlights a parallel in their active sites. Furthermore, an N-terminal deletion mutant of Pyrococcus furiosus (Pfu) RPP29 that is defective in assembling with its binary partner RPP21, as assessed by isothermal titration calorimetry and NMR spectroscopy, is functional when reconstituted with the cognate Pfu RPR. Collectively, these results indicate that archaeal RPPs are able to compensate for structural defects in their cognate RPR and vice-versa, and provide striking examples of the cooperative subunit interactions critical for driving archaeal RNase P towards its functional conformation. (236 words)
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INTRODUCTION

Key cellular processes such as RNA processing, splicing and translation are catalyzed by large ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes. Mapping the assembly pathways of these RNPs from multiple RNA and protein subunits and delineating the functional contributions of individual subunits remain challenging problems. RNase P, a catalytic RNP vital for tRNA biogenesis, presents tractable prospects for studying the structural and functional cooperation among the subunits of an RNP. In all domains of life, tRNAs are typically made as precursors with additional nucleotides at their 5′ termini. The endonucleolytic action of RNase P excises these 5′-leaders from precursor tRNAs (pre-tRNAs).1–4 With the exception of some organellar variants,5,6 RNase P typically functions as an RNP, albeit with differences in subunit make-up: while all these RNPs contain a catalytic RNase P RNA (RPR), there is one RNase P protein (RPP) subunit in bacteria, and at least four and nine subunits in archaea and eukarya (nuclear), respectively. Archaeal and eukaryal RPPs are related, but none of them shares any sequence homology with the bacterial RPP.7 Although RPRs (without RPPs) can cleave pre-tRNAs, their cleavage rates vary by ~10^6 fold (bacterial > archaeal > eukaryal RPR).8–10 The weaker activity of the archaeal/eukaryal RPRs, compared to their bacterial counterpart, together with the reverse trend in protein:RNA molecular mass ratios of RNase P (eukaryal > archaeal > bacterial), suggests a more acute dependence of the archaeal/eukaryal RPRs on their multiple protein cofactors.

Archaeal RNase P serves as an experimental alternative to its eukaryotic cousin,11 which has proven difficult to assemble in vitro despite the availability of constituent subunits in recombinant form, and as a paradigm to uncover the coordination among multiple proteins that aid an RNA catalyst. The latter objective has been assisted by recent advances in functional reconstitution of archaeal RNase P12–17 and elucidation of the high-resolution structures12,18–26 of the RPPs. Our reconstitution studies revealed that the four archaeal RPPs function as two binary RPP complexes (POP5•RPP30 and RPP21•RPP29), which have large effects on the RPR’s catalytic efficiency [e.g., a 4250-fold increase in kcat/KM in Pyrococcus furiosus (Pfu) RNase P].17 Subsequent kinetic studies demonstrated a principal role for POP5•RPP30 in enhancing the RPR’s rate of pre-tRNA cleavage and RPP21•RPP29 in increasing substrate affinity.13,16 The RPP structures were solved both individually and as binary complexes.12,18–26 These structures fall within established nucleic acid binding protein families: an RRM-like fold (POP5), a TIM barrel (RPP30), a zinc ribbon (RPP21) and an Sm-like fold (RPP29). The POP5•RPP30 and RPP21•RPP29 heterodimer structures reveal protein-protein binding interfaces and furnish clues as to possible RNA-binding sites. Collectively, these findings provide an ideal platform for uncovering structure-function relationships in a multi-subunit RNP, a focus of our ongoing work.

The functional importance of universally-conserved nucleotides in RPRs is borne out by their presence even in pared-down, active versions of bacterial and archaeal RPRs.13,16,17,27,28 To elucidate similarities in the RNA-mediated catalytic mechanism in bacterial and archaeal RNase P, we investigated whether a universally conserved, bulged uridine shown to participate in binding catalytically important Mg2+ ions in bacterial RPRs is also vital in the archaeal relative. Indeed, we found a severe catalytic defect in an archaeal RPR in which this bulged uridine was deleted; however, this defect was partially rescued upon the addition of RPPs. During our structural studies of archaeal RPP29, we also unexpectedly identified an N-terminal deletion mutant of RPP29 which fails to bind its partner RPP21 [as judged by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and NMR spectroscopy] but is functional upon addition of RPR. These findings collectively illustrate the reciprocal subunit interactions vital for driving archaeal RNase P towards its functional structure.
RESULTS

Mutation in the P4 helix of an archaeal RPR decreases cleavage activity

A conserved feature in all RPRs is a bulge-helix structure in the P4 paired region (Fig. 1). The geometry of this P4 bulge-helix structure in bacterial RPRs is important for RNA structure and Mg\(^{2+}\) association, which in turn are critical for pre-tRNA binding and cleavage.\(^{29}\) Disrupting this bulge-helix structure either by eliminating the bulged uridine (ΔU) or inserting one additional uridine in the bulge (+U) decreases activity. For example, deleting this bulged uridine (U69) in *Escherichia coli* (Eco) RPR results in a 100-fold lower single-turnover reaction rate compared to the wild-type (WT) RPR even at saturating (300 mM) concentrations of Mg\(^{2+}\).\(^{29}\) Eliminating the bulge in the *Eco* RPR weakened the apparent affinity for Mg\(^{2+}\) and reduced the cooperativity for Mg\(^{2+}\) (the Hill coefficient, \(n_H\), decreased from 2.2 to 1.5).\(^{29}\) Replacement of non-bridging oxygen atoms with sulfur at positions proximal to U69 in *Eco* RPR (e.g., A67) lowered the cleavage rate by three to four orders of magnitude, with the deleterious effect in some instances largely rescued by thiophilic metal ions such as Mn\(^{2+}\);\(^{30,31}\) similar results were reported with *Bacillus subtilis* (Bsu) RPR.\(^{32}\) Moving the bulge away from the nearby sites of metal ion coordination also decreased activity by 70-fold indicating that the position of the bulge in P4 is important.\(^{29}\) A recent crystal structure of the bacterial RNase P holoenzyme-tRNA complex\(^{33}\) sheds light on the putative role(s) of the universally conserved bulged uridine. Some attributes of the RNase P catalytic site were inferred when a pre-tRNA 5′-leader was soaked into a crystal of the *Thermotoga maritima* RNase P-pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) complex, with and without Sm\(^{3+}\) ions (used as Mg\(^{2+}\) mimics). Notably, a metal ion, likely to generate the attacking hydroxide nucleophile, is held in position by interactions with the O4 oxygen of the uridine and its proximal phosphate backbone.

We tested the importance of the conserved bulge-helix structure in P4 of archaeal RPRs by deleting the bulge in the P4 helix (ΔU; Fig. 1). To focus solely on the chemical cleavage step, we introduced the ΔU mutation in a self-cleaving construct, pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr}}\)-S3-\(\text{Mja} \) RPR (hereafter referred as pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr}}\)-\(\text{Mja} \) RPR for simplicity). In this cis construct, the pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr}}\) substrate is tethered via a 3-nt spacer to \(\text{Mja} \) RPR to help overcome the type M RPR’s substrate-binding defects,\(^{10,16,34}\) which typically prevent pre-tRNA cleavage in trans. We previously showed this cis conjugate to be a good model for studying the chemical cleavage step [based on a slope of ~1 in plots of log\((k_{\text{obs}})\) vs. pH].\(^{16}\) We found that the \(k_{\text{obs}}\) for self-cleavage of pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr}}\)-\(\text{Mja} \)ΔU RPR (at pH 6) is 0.0025 min\(^{-1}\), 80-fold lower than the wild type (WT) pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr}}\)-\(\text{Mja} \) RPR (Table 1 and Fig. 2; ref. 16).

RPP21•RPP29 and POP5•RPP30 both partially rescue the decrease in cleavage rate caused by the ΔU mutation

We next proceeded to test the activity of the P4-mutated archaeal RPRs in the presence of their cognate RPPs since there is precedent for the bacterial RPP influencing substrate recognition, cleavage and metal ion coordination.\(^{32,35–42}\) For example, the absence or presence of the RPP caused differences in the ability of thiophilic metal ions to rescue the adverse effects caused by replacing different non-bridging oxygens with sulfur in the P4 helix of *Bsu* RPR.\(^{32}\) This observation taken together with subsequent studies\(^{37,41}\) supports the idea that the bacterial RPP increases the affinity of the RPR for catalytically relevant metal ions. Also, aberrant cleavage of select pre-tRNAs by the *Eco* ΔC92 RPR mutant was corrected by the *Eco* RPP, suggesting that the protein cofactor can alleviate RPR catalytic defects including altered substrate positioning.\(^{36}\)

We had previously demonstrated that addition of *Mja* POP5•RPP30 enhanced \(k_{\text{obs}}\) for self-cleavage of pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr}}\)-\(\text{Mja} \) RPR by ~100-fold while RPP21•RPP29 had no effect;
however, both binary RPP complexes reduced the monovalent and divalent ionic requirement. It is important to note that these cis construct findings were mirrored in single-turnover, trans-cleavage studies with another archaeal (\textit{Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus}) RNase P.\textsuperscript{13} We sought to determine if \textit{Mja} RPPs could rescue the \textit{Mja}ΔU RPR mutation. When RPP21•RPP29 was added to pre-RNA\textsubscript{Tyr-Mja}ΔU RPR (at pH 6), the rate increased by ~7-fold compared to the reaction in the absence of RPPs. Notably, the rate increased by 584-fold in the presence of POP5•RPP30 (Fig. 2; Table 1). When all four proteins were present, the rate is 736-fold higher compared to the RPR-alone reaction (Fig. 2; Table 1). However, the presence of all four RPPs was unable to raise the mutant RPR’s activity to that observed with the WT; the activity of the mutant holoenzyme is still 12-fold lower than the WT at 100 mM Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (Table 1). This difference is roughly halved at 500 mM Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (not shown).

\textbf{Archaeal RPR-mediated rescue of structural defects in RPPs}

The ability of archaeal RPPs to rescue an RPR mutant (Fig. 2) was mirrored in our adventitious finding that an N-terminal deletion mutant of \textit{Pyrococcus furiosus} (\textit{Pfu}) RPP29 which fails to bind RPP21 is nevertheless functional when assembled with the RPR. As described below, given the premise that the interaction between RPP29 and RPP21 is important for proper assembly of the holoenzyme, our observations suggest that the RPR rescues activity by overcoming the structural defect in the mutated protein.

We expect the findings in this report on \textit{Mja} and \textit{Pfu} RNase P to be broadly applicable to RNase P from various archaea. Due to technical reasons, we employed a different type of archaeal RNase P for the kinetic and biophysical studies. There are two broad classes of euryarchaeal RNase P: type A (\textit{Pfu}) and M (\textit{Mja}),\textsuperscript{34} and we have successfully reconstituted both holoenzymes.\textsuperscript{13,16} The type A RPRs are so called because of their resemblance to the bacterial type A (ancestral) RPRs; the type M RPRs (mostly from \textit{Methanococcales}) resemble the eukaryal RPRs (Fig. 1). Due to the utility of the well-studied pre-tRNA-\textit{Mja} RPR cis conjugate,\textsuperscript{16} we favored it for our kinetic analyses of the AU mutant, while the availability of structures of the \textit{Pfu} (and not \textit{Mja}) RPPs has led to use of \textit{Pfu} RNase P as the preferred model for our ongoing biophysical studies.

\textbf{NMR and ITC assays establish a role for the N-terminus of RPP29 in forming the RPP21-RPP29 binary complex}

\textbf{Limited trypsin proteolysis—}To identify a deletion derivative of RPP29 that might be better suited than the full-length protein for structure determination of the RPP21•RPP29 complex, we first sought to identify a structured core in RPP29. \textit{Pfu} RPP29 contains 127 residues, the first 35 of which are unique to the \textit{Pyrococcus} family (Fig. 3). In the absence of RPP21, the first 47 residues of \textit{Pfu} RPP29 gave no signals in the two-dimensional \textsuperscript{1}H,\textsuperscript{15}N correlated NMR spectrum, suggesting that they might not be required for maintaining the core structure of \textit{Pfu} RPP29. Limited trypsin proteolysis coupled with electrospray mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) revealed a trypsin-resistant core comprising residues 42–120 (data not shown) that was insensitive to further digestion after 40 min, while the remainder of RPP29 could be rapidly digested within 10 min. Since trypsin only cleaves after Arg/Lys residues and K52 is the next Arg/Lys residue after K41, K52 must be in a well-folded region, a premise confirmed by heteronuclear NMR spectra.\textsuperscript{25} The absence of putative trypsin cleavage sites between K41 and K52 prevented us from precisely defining the exact boundary of the unstructured N-terminus.

\textbf{\textit{Pfu} RPP29 derivatives—}In addition to the abovementioned findings from limited proteolysis of \textit{Pfu} RPP29, the crystal structure of \textit{Pho} RPP29 was solved by use of an N-terminal deletion mutant: RPP29A31, since crystals could not be obtained with the full-
length protein. Based on these observations, two N-terminal deletion mutants of Pfu RPP29 were engineered, RPP29Δ42 and RPP29Δ36, in which the first 42 and 36 residues are removed, respectively. NMR spectra confirmed that the structured core is conserved in both mutants (data not shown), consistent with the solution structures of Mth and Afu RPP29. However, the addition of the unlabeled RPP21 to 15N-labeled Pfu RPP29 Δ36 and Δ42 variants did not induce significant spectral changes, indicating that deletion of the first 36 residues eliminated important binding determinants (data not shown). Thus, the interaction with Pfu RPP21 requires the N-terminal region of Pfu RPP29, despite the fact that these residues are missing in RPP29 from other archaea (Fig. 3).

We then constructed five additional Pfu RPP29 mutants each with different length deletions at the N-terminus, namely RPP29Δ5, RPP29Δ13, RPP29Δ17, RPP29Δ24 and RPP29Δ31 (Fig. 3). RPP29Δ31 corresponds to the construct used for crystallization of Pho RPP29. This series of RPP29 derivatives also allowed us to explore more precisely the function of the N-terminus of RPP29. The ability of each mutant to bind RPP21 was examined by NMR spectroscopy and ITC, and the effect of these deletions on RNase P activity was tested in vitro.

NMR and ITC studies—We used heteronuclear NMR chemical shift perturbations and ITC to examine the interactions between RPP21 and RPP29 deletion mutants. The extent and site of protein-protein interactions were mapped on the basis of changes in the positions of crosspeaks in two-dimensional 1H-15N-correlated spectra which result from changes in the environment sensed by individual backbone amides of one protein upon being bound by its partner. Using such chemical shift perturbations, we mapped the interactions when wild type RPP21 was bound to either RPP29 or one of its N-terminal mutant derivatives. As observed with RPP29Δ36 and RPP29Δ42, the five other RPP29 deletion mutants also adopt the same structured core, indicated by nearly identical 1H-15N NMR spectra (Figs. 4C and 4E, data shown for RPP29Δ17 and RPP29Δ24 only). Despite this conserved structure, among them only RPP29Δ5, RPP29Δ13 and RPP29Δ17 mutants resulted in dramatic spectral changes upon addition of unlabeled RPP21, while RPP29Δ24 and RPP29Δ31 did not (data shown for RPP29Δ17 in Figs. 4C and 4D, and for RPP29Δ24 in Figures 4E and 4F, as representatives of each group). The observation of small chemical shift perturbations in RPP29Δ24 suggests only weak binding to RPP21 (Fig. 4F and Fig. 5). The per-residue chemical shift perturbations are summarized in Figure 6 for RPP29 (WT), RPP29Δ17 or RPP29Δ24.

Reverse titrations (i.e., unlabeled RPP29 deletion mutants titrated into U-[15N]-RPP21) were similarly consistent with tight RPP21 binding by RPP29 (WT) and RPP29Δ17 but not RPP29Δ24 (data not shown).

To assess the impact of the deletions in RPP29 quantitatively and inform subsequent NMR studies, we measured the binding affinity between RPP29 and RPP21 using ITC. Consistent with NMR data, RPP29Δ17 binds RPP21 similarly to RPP29WT, with comparable binding stoichiometry (N, 1:1), enthalpy (ΔH) and affinity (Table 2, Figure 6). On the other hand, no binding between RPP29Δ24 and RPP21 could be detected by ITC under the same conditions. The recently determined structure of the RPP21•RPP29 complex helps rationalize this large affinity difference for RPP21 binding to RPP29Δ17 versus RPP29Δ24 (see Discussion).

In vitro reconstitution assays uncover the RPR’s ability to rescue a mutation in RPP29

To examine the effect of Pfu RPP29 N-terminal deletions on RNase P activity, we performed steady-state kinetic assays using two different in vitro reconstituted holoenzymes: either RPR + RPP21•RPP29 or RPR + RPP21•RPP29 + POP5•RPP30. These complexes containing either two or four RPPs were assayed under their respective optimal assay condition and at substrate concentrations ~2.5 × K_M. When Pfu RPR was assembled with RPP21 + RPP29Δ17 or RPP29Δ24 or RPP29Δ31, the partial holoenzymes displayed 84% or
57% or 8% of the activity observed with RPP29WT (Fig. 7). If POP5•RPP30 was also included, the reconstituted holoenzymes comprising RPP29Δ17 or RPP29Δ24 or RPP29Δ31 exhibited 84% or 56% or 4%, respectively, of the activity observed with RPP29WT (Fig. 7). Since deletion of the N-terminal 24 residues of RPP29 compromises its ability to bind RPP21, the nearly three-fifths of the wild-type activity observed with RPP21•RPP29Δ24 must reflect the RPR’s ability to rescue this binding defect in RPP29Δ24. Such a rescue, however, is absent with RPP29Δ31 (Fig. 7). The results obtained with RPR + RPP21•RPP29Δ31 in the absence or presence of POP5•RPP30 are nearly identical (Fig. 7), indicating that neither the RPR nor POP5•RPP30 could ameliorate the deleterious effects of the 31-amino acid N-terminal deletion in RPP29.

DISCUSSION

Evolutionarily and functionally conserved motifs in both bacterial and archaeal RPRs

Despite the remarkable differences in the subunit composition of bacterial, archaeal and eukaryal RNase P, there is a striking conservation of various RPR features, notably the universally conserved bulge-helix P4 (Fig. 1). Altering the geometry of this bulge-helix structure in bacterial RPRs affects local RNA structure, substrate binding, catalysis and metal ion interactions.29–32 We have now demonstrated that alteration of this motif (ΔU mutation) in an archaeal RPR-substrate cis conjugate results in an 80-fold decrease in self-cleavage rate (Fig. 2; Table 1). These findings mirror the 100-fold decrease in cleavage rate reported for the bacterial (Eco) ΔU RPR and the complete loss of activity upon deletion of three nucleotides in the P4 helix of human RPR.9,29 Thus, the role of the conserved, bulged uridine in catalysis might be preserved in RNase P variants from all three domains of life.

Archaeal RPPs can mitigate catalytic defects in the cognate RPR

When the Mja RPR ΔU cis-cleaving construct was assembled with the cognate RPP21•RPP29 or POP5•RPP30 or all four RPPs, the differences in self-cleavage rates, relative to the WT RPR with the corresponding RPPs, was narrowed to an order of magnitude instead of the 80-fold observed in the RPR-alone reaction (Table 1). These observations shed light on the roles of RPPs in archaeal RNase P catalysis; however, before describing such inferences, it is useful to first consider a kinetic scheme (Fig. 8) for the RNase P-catalyzed pre-tRNA cleavage reaction.

Figure 8 depicts the individual steps during pre-tRNA processing and is based on a framework derived from investigations on bacterial RNase P. Kinetic and structural studies indicate that a two-step association between RNase P and pre-tRNA precedes the cleavage step.44–48 Stopped-flow kinetic studies revealed the rapid formation of an initial ES encounter complex (K_S) before a slower uni-molecular conformational change converts ES to ES* (K_conf.).44 This conformational transition repositions the pre-tRNA cleavage site proximal to the RNA:protein interface in bacterial RNase P48 and appears to set the stage for favorable catalysis by the associated Mg2+ ions, whose roles in RNase P catalysis we discuss below.

Divalent metal ions play pleiotropic roles in RNA structure and function.49–51 These ions are broadly classified as diffusely- and site-bound, with the latter further categorized as outer- and inner-sphere interactions – the former involves water-mediated contacts with the RNA, while the latter the phosphate backbone and nucleobases. Both types screen electrostatic repulsion and promote RNA tertiary structure, but site-specific metal ions additionally participate directly in RNA catalysis. In fact, during RNase P RNA catalysis, a hydrated, active site-bound Mg2+ is believed to generate the nucleophile to attack the scissile phosphodiester linkage in the pre-tRNA.52,53 Fluorescently-labeled pre-tRNAs were
employed in binding and cleavage assays, performed in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\) or Co(NH\(_3\))\(_6\)\(^{3+}\), to dissect the types of divalent ions participating in the different steps of the RNase P reaction mechanism.\(^{48}\) While even Co(NH\(_3\))\(_6\)\(^{3+}\), an exchange-inert mimic of the Mg(H\(_2\)O)\(_6\)\(^{2+}\), permits formation of the initial encounter complex (ES), a high-affinity Mg\(^{2+}\) that supports inner-sphere contacts is absolutely essential for the ES → ES* transition; this metal ion stabilizes the ES* conformer but it is unclear if it does so before or after the conformational change.\(^{48}\) A second, lower-affinity Mg\(^{2+}\) then activates cleavage of ES* → EP. The recently solved crystal structure of the \(T.\ maritima\) RNase P-pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) complex\(^{33}\) is also consistent with a two-metal-ion mechanism.

We can interpret our findings on the ΔU mutant using the abovementioned kinetic scheme (Fig. 8). The 80-fold decrease in the self-cleavage rate of pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr-Mja}}\)ΔU RPR (relative to the WT) likely results from an altered local structure and/or weaker coordination of Mg\(^{2+}\) ions critical for catalysis post-ES complex formation. We have drawn a parallel with bacterial RNase P where deletion of the bulged uridine (in P4) caused only a four-fold weaker pre-tRNA binding while decreasing the cleavage rate by 100-fold, largely due to defects in Mg\(^{2+}\) coordination,\(^{29}\) a premise bolstered by the recent structure of the bacterial RNase P holoenzyme\(^{33}\) which places the O4 oxygen of the bulged uridine proximal to a putative catalytic metal ion.

Our previous kinetic studies of trans cleavage of a pre-tRNA by an archaeal (type A) RNase P and cis cleavage of pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr-Mja}}\) (type M) RPR revealed that POP5•RPP30 is solely responsible for increasing the rate of chemical cleavage by ~100-fold (through effects on \(K_{\text{conf.}}\)) while RPP21•RPP29 enhances substrate binding by 16-fold (by influencing \(K_S\)).\(^{13,16}\) Thus, the ability of POP5•RPP30 to increase the cleavage of pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr-Mja}}\)ΔU RPR by 584-fold (Table 1) was not unanticipated. However, it was surprising that Mja RPP21•RPP29, which does not increase the self-cleavage of pre-tRNA\(^{\text{Tyr-Mja}}\) (WT) RPR, does so by 7-fold for the ΔU mutant. In the latter instance, RPP21•RPP29 must somehow help position the substrate optimally for cleavage to occur, and this effect manifests only when the conserved uridine in P4 is absent. We outline how RPP21•RPP29 and the bulged uridine might be functionally redundant in terms of binding substrate/metal ions vital for RNase P catalysis.

Bacterial RPRs can be divided into two independently-folded domains: a specificity (S) domain with conserved nucleotides making up a T-stem-loop binding site (TBS) that recognizes the T stem-loop (TSL) of the pre-tRNA, and a catalytic (C) domain that cleaves the pre-tRNA while binding to the 5′-leader sequence, acceptor stem and the 3′-RCCA sequence.\(^{54–62}\) Results from various studies indicate that a similar demarcation is likely in archaeal RPRs.\(^{13,16,17,63}\) Moreover, footprinting studies indicate that POP5•RPP30 binds to the C domain and RPP21•RPP29 binds to the S domain;\(^{17,25}\) such a delineation is consistent with the idea that binding of POP5•RPP30 in the vicinity of the active site contributes directly to cleavage, and binding of RPP21•RPP29 to the S domain contributes to substrate binding presumably by facilitating the TSL (pre-tRNA)-TBS (RPR) interaction.\(^{54,57}\) Given that P4 is in the C domain (Fig. 1) and RPP21•RPP29 footprints on the S domain, this binary complex may affect Mg\(^{2+}\) coordination in the C domain by enabling long-distance interactions between the S and C domains. Integrating two earlier observations provides support for this notion. First, in bacterial RPRs, the TSL-S domain interaction is believed to trigger a conformational change that aids catalysis by positioning the chemical groups and catalytically important Mg\(^{2+}\) near the cleavage site in the C domain.\(^{54,57}\) Second, cleavage-site selection in model substrates revealed that when archaeal RPRs are bound to RPP21•RPP29, their substrate-recognition properties coincide with those of bacterial RPRs.\(^{64}\) Such inter-domain crosstalk mediated by RPP21•RPP29 and its direct relevance to catalytic metal ion interactions remain to be deciphered.
Both POP5•RPP30 and RPP21•RPP29 permit pre-tRNA cleavage at lower Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations (100 mM) than the reaction catalyzed by the RPR alone (500 mM). Rescue of the ΔU mutant by POP5•RPP30 and RPP21•RPP29 (likely through different mechanisms) coupled with the possibility that the bulged uridine coordinates a catalytic-site Mg$^{2+}$ suggests a role for RPPs in binding active-site metal ions, a premise that needs to be experimentally validated.

**Archaeal RPR can rescue the deleterious effects of a large deletion in a cognate RPP**

We have demonstrated that a protein-protein interaction defect in a *Pfu* RPP binary complex is rescued by the *Pfu* RPR. Removal of the first 24 residues in *Pfu* RPP29 largely abolishes its ability to bind RPP21, yet the holoenzyme assembled in vitro using RPP29Δ24 retains three-fifths of the activity of wild-type *Pfu* RNase P (Fig. 8). This near-normal functional behavior, together with the previous finding that neither RPP21 nor RPP29 can individually activate the RPR, likely reflects the ability of the RPR to restore the interactions between RPP29Δ24 and RPP21, an observation which we elaborate below.

High-resolution structures of the *Pho* and *Pfu* RPP21•RPP29 complexes highlight how different structural elements in RPP21 and RPP29 form a tight interface mediated by several polar, ionic and hydrophobic interactions. An examination of the N-termini of the two proteins in this binary complex reveals that residues I23 (α1) and I29 (α2) of *Pfu* RPP29 make close contacts with the side-chains of V28 and L35 (α1) of *Pfu* RPP21. Thus, it is not surprising that *Pfu* RPP29Δ24 (lacking part of α1, residues 19–24) and RPP29Δ31 (missing parts of α1 and α2, residues 26–31) would fail to bind *Pfu* RPP21 with high affinity (Figs. 5 and 6).

In our solution structure of *Pfu* RPP21•RPP29, we noted the presence of a large electropositive surface patch (site 1) which spans both proteins, and a smaller electropositive patch on the opposite side of RPP21 (site 2). We proposed that site 1 may be used for RPR docking and site 2 for substrate binding, as suggested for human RPP21. Results from our RNase T1/V1-based footprinting studies indicated that archaeal RPP21•RPP29 footprint the RPR’s S-domain, although the exact regions in these proteins responsible for these RPR interactions remain to be mapped. It is reasonable to infer that in the absence of the RPR, the N-terminus (residues 25–35) of *Pfu* RPP29Δ24 cannot adopt a stable conformation needed for RPP21 binding; in the presence of *Pfu* RPR, however, the large RNA may serve as a scaffold to recruit both proteins and then induce the appropriate conformation of each protein required for their interaction. While the formation of heterodimers (e.g., RPP21•RPP29) might be obligatory for generating a suitable RNA-binding platform, and even enhance the affinity and specificity for the intended RNA target, our data suggest that the RNA reciprocates by providing a platform that promotes proper protein-protein interactions.

Since RPP29 from different Thermococcaceae possess the long N-terminal addition not seen in other archaeal relatives (Fig. 4 and data not shown), it is conceivable that deleting its N-terminal 24 residues, although lacking a severe phenotype under *in vitro* assay conditions (pre-tRNA cleavage at 55°C; Fig. 7), might prove deleterious *in vivo*. With *Pfu*’s optimal growth at ~95 to 100°C, the entire suite of protein-protein interactions (including those involving α1 in *Pfu* RPP29) is likely needed for forming the RPP21•RPP29 complex under such harsh habitats.

**Concluding remarks**

Our observations of mutual rescue between archaeal RPR and RPPs reveal an intimate, inter-dependent relationship among the subunits in RNase P, and extends earlier findings...
from NMR studies on the *Pfu* RPP21•RPP29 complex\(^25\) in which we uncovered binding-coupled folding of structural elements in both proteins. These results are consistent with induced-fit mechanisms, a recurring theme in large dynamic RNPs.\(^66,67\) The structural flexibility afforded by co-folding of different interacting pairs might offer alternative, equally productive paths to the final assemblage in large multi-subunit complexes (e.g., RNase P, RNase MRP,\(^68,69\) ribosome\(^70,71\)).

**MATERIALS & METHODS**

**Construction of mutant derivatives of archaeal RPRs**

The gene encoding the *Mja* RPR \(\Delta U\) mutant was generated using PCR-based mutagenesis. To generate pBT7-pterRNA\(^{Tyr}\)-*Mja*\(\Delta U\) RPR, pBT7-pterRNA\(^{Tyr}\)-*Mja* RPR\(^16,72\) was utilized as the template. T4 polynucleotide kinase was used to phosphorylate the primers pTyr-S3-Mja RPR-\(\Delta U\)-F (5'-TCCGCCCACCCCATTTAT-3') and pTyr-S3-Mja RPR-R (5'-CTTCTCCCCCTTAAAG-3'); these primers flank the nucleotide to be deleted and are oriented outward to ensure amplification of the entire pBT7-pterRNA\(^{Tyr}\)-S3-Mja RPR plasmid (except the single position to be deleted). The resulting PCR product was circularized by ligation with T4 DNA ligase and transformed into *E. coli* DH5\(\alpha\). Transformants were then screened to identify those harboring pBT7-pterRNA\(^{Tyr}\)-*Mja*\(\Delta U\). The sequence of the RPR mutant was confirmed by automated DNA sequencing.

**In vitro transcription of RPRs used in this study**

The *Pfu* RPR was generated as described previously.\(^17\) For the cis-cleaving *Mja* \(\Delta U\) RPR, we generated the RNA using a PCR product as the template. A high-fidelity PCR [using Phusion DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs)] was performed with pBT7-pterRNA\(^{Tyr}\)-*Mja*\(\Delta U\) RPR as the template, and 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATA GGGAGCAGGCCAGTAAA-3' (forward) and 5'-CTATTTCGGCTTGCACCCC-3' (reverse) as primers. These primers generate a PCR product containing the coding sequence of ptRNA\(^{Tyr}\)-*Mja*\(\Delta U\) RPR under the control of a T7 RNA polymerase promoter (whose sequence is shown in italics in the forward primer).

Following *in vitro* transcription, all RNAs were subjected to extensive dialysis to remove unincorporated rNTPs and their concentrations determined from their respective extinction coefficients at Abs\(260\).

**Construction of *Pfu* RPP29 deletion mutant derivatives**

Seven *Pfu* RPP29 mutants were constructed, with different N-terminal deletions, named RPP29\(\Delta 5\), RPP29\(\Delta 13\), RPP29\(\Delta 17\), RPP29\(\Delta 24\), RPP29\(\Delta 31\), RPP29\(\Delta 36\), and RPP29\(\Delta 42\), based on the number of N-terminal residues removed. Each open reading frame encoding the specific RPP29 N-terminal deletion mutant was amplified by PCR using the full-length *Pfu* pET-33b/RPP29 plasmid as the template and gene-specific DNA primers (Table 3); the same reverse primer was used in all cases. These PCR products were individually digested with *Nco*I and *Xho*I, whose recognition sites were included in the forward and reverse primers, respectively, and then cloned into pET-33b (Novagen) also digested with *Nco*I and *Xho*I. The sequences of all these RPP29 mutant derivatives were confirmed by automated DNA sequencing.

**Expression and purification of the protein subunits of *Mja* and *Pfu* RNase P**

The preparation of *Mja* and *Pfu* RPPs followed established methods.\(^13,16,18,24\) The unlabeled and U\(^{15}N\)-*Pfu* RPP29\(\Delta X\) proteins (as well as *Pfu* RPP21) were overexpressed and purified as previously described.\(^18,25\)
**RNase P assays**

All assays were performed in a thermal cycler. Regardless of how the reactions were set up, they were always terminated using a stop dye [10 M urea, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% (w/v) xylene cyanol, 0.05% (w/v) bromophenol blue, 20% (v/v) phenol]. The reaction products were then subjected to 8% (w/v) polyacrylamide/7 M urea gel electrophoresis and cleavage rates analyzed as described in the next section.

**Multiple-turnover reactions**—Multiple-turnover trans-cleavage reactions with *Pfu* RNase P were performed essentially as described elsewhere (see also Fig. 7 for additional details).13,17

**Single-turnover reactions**—The self-cleavage rates of pre-tRNA^Tyr-MjaΔU RPR were determined in the absence and presence of Mja RPPs. *In vitro* transcribed pre-tRNA^Tyr-MjaΔU RPR was first folded as follows: incubation at 50°C for 50 min in water followed by 37°C for 30 min in 50 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8), 800 mM NH₄OAc and 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂. For all cis cleavage reactions, we mixed 25,000 dpm of folded 5′-[P³²] labeled pre-tRNA^Tyr-MjaΔU RPR with 50 nM of the same unlabeled, folded transcript. Assays were performed in two phases: a pre-incubation followed by a cleavage time-course. We optimized conditions to minimize self-cleavage during pre-incubation at 55°C, which was required for temperature equilibration and for reconstitution with RPPs (see description below). In all cases, once cleavage was initiated, aliquots were removed at defined time intervals and the reactions were terminated by adding an equal volume of stop dye.

**RPR-alone:** The folded RPR [50 μM in 20 μl of 50 mM 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) pH 6, 2.5 M NH₄OAc, 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂] was incubated at 55°C for 5 min. Cleavage at 55°C was then initiated by addition of an equal volume of identical buffer containing 1 M Mg(OAc)₂, which had been pre-warmed to 55°C.

**RPR + RPPs:** The reconstitutions with different combinations of RPPs involved variations in pH and divalent ions used since care had to be taken to minimize self-cleavage while maximizing RNP assembly and product formation. We empirically determined these optimal conditions, although they largely mirrored those in our earlier study when we examined self-cleavage of pre-tRNA^Tyr-Mja (WT) RPR.16 For determining the self-cleavage rates of pre-tRNA^Tyr-MjaΔU RPR in the presence of RPPs, we first mixed the RPR with RPPs (final concentration of 50 and 500 nM, respectively) at 37°C for 10 min followed by 55°C for 10 min. With pre-tRNA^Tyr-MjaΔU RPR + RPP21•RPP29, the RNP was first reconstituted in 20 μl of 50 mM MES (pH 6), 800 mM NH₄OAc and 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂; at the end of this pre-incubation, cleavage was initiated by addition of 20 μl of 50 mM MES pH 6, 800 mM NH₄OAc and 200 mM Mg(OAc)₂. In the case of RPR + POP5•RPP30, the reconstitution was in 20 μl of 50 mM MES (pH 6), 800 mM NH₄OAc and 25 mM Ca(OAc)₂ and cleavage initiated by addition of 20 μl of 50 mM MES (pH 6), 800 mM NH₄OAc and 200 mM Mg(OAc)₂. When all four RPPs were present, the reconstitution was in 20 μl of 50 mM MES (pH 5.4), 800 mM NH₄OAc and 1 mM Mg(OAc)₂, and cleavage initiated by addition of 20 μl of 50 mM MES (pH 5.4), 800 mM NH₄OAc and 200 mM Mg(OAc)₂.

**RNase P activity data analysis**

Regardless of trans or cis cleavage reactions, the reaction products separated by denaturing PAGE were visualized by phosphorimager analysis (Typhoon, GE Healthcare) and quantitated with ImageQuant (GE Healthcare) to assess the extent of substrate cleaved. For the trans cleavage reactions, we calculated the initial velocities by determining the product formed during a time course; typically, the times of incubation were chosen to restrict the amount of substrate cleaved to < 30%. To obtain the rate of product formation (k_{obs}) in cis
reactions, the percent of product formed at time $t$ ($P_t$) was fit to $P_t = P_\infty(1 - e^{-kt})$ using Kaleidagraphe software (Synergy). The standard errors for the best-fit values of $k_{obs}$ did not exceed 20%. The reported kinetic parameters are the mean and standard deviation values calculated from at least three independent experiments.

In the cis cleavage reactions, the ES complexes formed using $Mja\Delta U RPR$ are largely productive in the case of the RPR-alone reaction and the RPR + POP5•RPP30 as indicated by their ≥80% amplitudes (Fig. 2, left panels). However, this is not the case in the reactions that have RPP21•RPP29 (either 25 or 50%, Fig. 2, right panels). Since we use a 10-fold stoichiometric excess of RPPs:RPR to facilitate assembly of the respective RNP, it is possible that any RPP21•RPP29 uncomplexed with the RPR engages in RPR-independent interactions with the covalently-tethered pre-tRNA$^{13,65}$ and thereby lowers the amplitude; although the presence of POP5•RPP30 ameliorates this problem, it is not entirely overcome (Fig. 2).

**Limited proteolysis to identify the folded core of RPP29**

One hundred $\mu$g of purified $Pfu$ RPP29WT was subjected to limited proteolysis by 1% (w/w) trypsin at room temperature for 1 h, and the proteolytic reaction was quenched by adding 5% (w/v) phenylmethanesulphonylfluoride and stored on ice. The digested proteins were analyzed by electrospray mass spectrometry (Q-TOF-II, Micromass).

**NMR spectroscopy**

NMR spectra were recorded at 55°C on a 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a cryogenically-cooled triple-resonance single-axis gradient probe. For each $U\{^{15}\text{N}\}$-$Pfu$ RPP29ΔX mutant, a two-dimensional $^1\text{H}$-$^{15}\text{N}$ correlation spectrum was recorded on the free protein (~0.3 mM), then another spectrum was recorded in the presence of a small molar excess of unlabeled $Pfu$ RPP21. We also performed the NMR assay with the reversed labeling pattern, that is, with $U\{^{15}\text{N}\}$-$Pfu$ RPP21 and unlabeled $Pfu$ RPP29ΔX (not shown). NMR spectra were processed and analyzed using NMRPipe and NMRView.$^{73,74}$ Backbone resonance assignments of the $Pfu$ RPP29 derivatives in the absence and presence of $Pfu$ RPP21 were inferred from the assignments of the free and bound $Pfu$ RPP29WT, respectively.$^{25}$ Weighted average chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) of amide resonances between the free and the bound spectra were calculated using the relation

$$\Delta\delta_{av} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left[ \Delta\delta_{av}^2 + (\Delta\delta_{av}/f)^2 \right]}$$

where $f = 8$ for Gly, 6 otherwise.

**Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)**

Purified $Pfu$ RPPs were dialyzed into ITC buffer [20 mM cacodylate (pH 6.7), 10 mM KCl, 0.3 mM ZnCl$_2$, and 0.02% (w/v) NaN$_3$] twice, each for at least 6 h. The protein solutions were thoroughly degassed under vacuum immediately before use at an experimental temperature of 55°C. All ITC experiments were performed on a VP-ITC calorimeter (MicroCal, Inc., Northhampton, MA), with the sample cell containing ~20 $\mu$M of $Pfu$ RPP21 and the syringe containing ~200 $\mu$M of $Pfu$ RPP29 or its mutant derivatives. Titration of RPP29 into RPP21 started with a 3-$\mu$l injection, followed by a series of 5-$\mu$l injections, with a spacing of 400 s. ITC data were analyzed with Origin V.7 SR4 (Microcal, Inc.) as follows. The heat pulse from each injection ($\mu$cal s$^{-1}$) was integrated (yielding enthalpy change ΔH, kcal mol$^{-1}$), corrected for heat of dilution obtained from the average of last ten injections post saturation, and plotted as a function of molar ratio. Nonlinear least squares fitting of the data to a single-site binding model yielded stoichiometry (N) and the association constant of the binding reaction ($K_A$).
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ABBREVIATIONS USED

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Mja} \quad \textit{Methanocaldococcus jannaschii}
  \item \textit{Mth} \quad \textit{Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus}
  \item pre-tRNA \quad \text{precursor tRNA}
  \item \textit{Pfu} \quad \textit{Pyrococcus furiosus}
  \item \textit{Pho} \quad \textit{Pyrococcus horikoshii}
  \item RNase P \quad \text{ribonuclease P}
  \item RNP \quad \text{ribonucleoprotein}
  \item RPP \quad \text{RNase P protein subunit}
  \item RPR \quad \text{RNase P RNA}
  \item tRNA \quad \text{transfer RNA}
\end{itemize}
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Figure 1.
Secondary structures of RPRs from Bacteria (e.g., *Escherichia coli*) and Archaea [e.g., *Pyrococcus furiosus* (*Pfu*; type A) and *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii* (*Mja*; type M)]. The universally conserved bulged uridine in the P4 helix of all RPRs is enclosed in a colored circle. A deletion (ΔU) mutation made at this position in the *Mja* RPR is also indicated.
Figure 2.
Effects of Mja RPPs on the rate of pre-tRNA<sup>Tyr</sup>-MjaΔU RPR self-cleavage. By analyzing the time course for product formation, the $k_{obs}$ values for the reactions catalyzed by the RPR with and without RPPs were determined (see Table 1). The mean and standard deviation values were calculated from three independent experiments.
**Figure 3.**
Sequence alignment of select type A and M archaeal RPP29 homologs. The alignment was generated with CLUSTALW \(^7\) and illustrated using ESPRIPT2.2 (http://espript.ibcp.fr/ESPript). Boxes indicate a global similarity score of 0.7, and block letters indicate invariant residues. Secondary structural elements represented in cartoon were observed in the NMR structure of the \(\text{Pfu}\) RPP21-RPP29 complex. Arrows indicate the sites of N-terminal deletions; for example, \(\Delta 17\) has the first 17 residues deleted. Type A sequences are above the dashed line; type M below. Aligned sequences are from *Pyrococcus furiosus* (Pfu, NP_579545), *Pyrococcus horikoshii* (Pho, NP_143607), *Methanothermobacter thermotrophicus* (Mth, 10Q_K_A), *Methanosarcina barkeri* (Mba, YP_303669), and *Methanobrevibacter smithii* (Msm, EFC93468), *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* (Afu, ITS A), *Methanococcus maripaludis* (Mma, YP_001549331), *Methanocaldoccocus jannaschii* (Mja, NP_247439), *Methanococcus aeolicus* (Mae, YP_001325580) and *Methanococcus vanielli* (Mva, YP_001323236).
Figure 4.
NMR-based binding assay of *Pfu* RPP29 N-terminal deletion derivatives to RPP21. Both RPP29Δ17 (C) and RPP29Δ24 (E) adopt the same structured core as the wild type (A), indicated by the almost identical two-dimensional $^1$H-$^{15}$N correlation spectra of the free $^{15}$N-labeled proteins. Titration of unlabeled RPP21 into $^{15}$N-labeled RPP29 induces dramatic spectral changes in the spectra of RPP29WT (B) and RPP29Δ17 (D), but not in the spectrum of RPP29Δ24 (F). In panels (C) and (E) the spectra of the free RPP29 derivative protein (blue) is overlaid on that of the free full-length protein (black). In panels (B), (D) and (F) the spectrum of each RPP29 variant is compared in the absence (back/blue) and presence (red) of equimolar RPP21.
Chemical shift perturbations demonstrate equivalent binding by RPP21 to RPP29WT and RPP29Δ17 but not RPP29Δ24. The magnitude of the binding-induced chemical shift perturbations observed in two-dimensional $^{15}\text{N}^{1}\text{H}$ correlated spectra (Fig. 4) are mapped to the sequence of RPP29. The similarity between the patterns for RPP29WT and RPP29Δ17 reflect similar affinities and binding interfaces. Very limited shift perturbations in RPP29Δ24 indicate severe RPP21-binding defects in that variant.
Figure 6.
Calorimetric titration of *Pfu* RPP29WT and RPP29Δ17 into *Pfu* RPP21 at 55°C. Fitting of ITC thermograms to a single-site model reports similar binding enthalpies and dissociation constants $K_D$ of 0.25 ± 0.01 μM for RPP29WT (A) and 0.23 ± 0.01 μM for RPP29Δ17 (B). RPP29Δ24 shows no detectable binding to RPP21 under same conditions.
Figure 7.
Comparison of the relative pre-tRNA\textsuperscript{Ty}r-processing activity of \textit{Pfu} RNase P reconstituted \textit{in vitro} using either wildtype (WT) or deletion mutant derivatives of RPP29. Activity of \textit{Pfu} RPR + RPP21•RPP29 (WT or mutant) was determined without (white bars) or with (grey bars) \textit{Pfu} POP5•RPP30. Relative activities of the mutant holoenzymes were calculated using as reference turnover numbers of 0.54 ± 0.03 min\textsuperscript{−1} for \textit{Pfu} RPR + RPP21•RPP29 and 8.9 ± 1.6 min\textsuperscript{−1} for \textit{Pfu} RPR + RPP21•RPP29 + POP5•RPP30. While \textit{Pfu} RPR + RPP21•RPP29 was assayed at 55°C in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.1), 120 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} and 100 mM NH\textsubscript{4}OAc, the reaction with 4 RPPs contained 30 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} and 800 mM NH\textsubscript{4}OAc; these conditions were determined to be optimal in an earlier study.\textsuperscript{17} The mean and standard deviation values were calculated from three independent experiments.
Figure 8.
Schematic of the steps involved in RNase P-catalyzed pre-tRNA cleavage. E refers to either the RPR or the holoenzyme (RPR + RPPs). The self-cleaving enzyme-substrate construct pre-tRNA\textsuperscript{Tyr}\textsubscript{Mja}\textsubscript{ΔU} is indicated by E -- S. The conformational transition (defined by $K_{\text{conf}}$) that precedes the cleavage step (with rate $k_c$) involves the binding of an inner-sphere Mg\textsuperscript{2+}; it is not known whether this divalent metal ion binds ES to form ES\textsuperscript{*} or if it binds ES\textsuperscript{*} and stabilizes it.\textsuperscript{48} If the RPPs and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} bind to the folded state of the RPR, their binding will be thermodynamically coupled (not shown).
Table 1

Effect of *Mja* RPPs on the rate of cleavage of pre-tRNA^{Tyr-*Mja*} (WT) and -*Mja* ΔU (MT) at 55°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assayed under optimal conditions for each catalytic entity</th>
<th>[NH4^+] [Mg^{2+}], M</th>
<th>k_{obs} (WT), min^{-1}</th>
<th>Rel. k_{obs} (WT)</th>
<th>k_{obs} (MT), min^{-1}**</th>
<th>Rel. k_{obs} (MT)</th>
<th>k_{obs} (WT)/k_{obs} (MT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pre-tRNA^{Tyr-<em>Mja</em>} RPR (WT or ΔU MT) + RPP21+RPP29</td>
<td>2.5; 0.5</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0025 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ POP5+RPP30</td>
<td>0.8; 0.1</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.0014</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Both binary RPP complexes</td>
<td>0.8; 0.1</td>
<td>20 ± 0.32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.12</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8; 0.1</td>
<td>22 ± 0.16</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.18**</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These rates at pH 6 were extrapolated from those determined at pH 5.4 and reported by us previously. We had also earlier established the direct relationship between log (k_{obs}) versus pH.

**Experiments were performed at pH 6, except for pre-tRNA^{Tyr-*Mja* ΔU} RPR reconstituted with both binary RPP complexes, which was assayed at pH 5.4. The rate at pH 6 for the reaction with four RPPs was obtained by extrapolation from 5.4. The standard errors of the curve fits shown in Figure 3 are indicated in the estimates of k_{obs}.
Table 2

Thermodynamic parameters determined by ITC for the interaction of Pfu RPP29WT and RPP29Δ17 to Pfu RPP21WT at 55°C§

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$K_A$ (1/10^6 M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta G$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T\Delta S$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPP29WT</td>
<td>1.001 ± 0.002</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.15</td>
<td>−9.90 ± 0.03</td>
<td>−29.67 ± 0.11</td>
<td>−19.77 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPP29Δ17</td>
<td>1.020 ± 0.002</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−9.97 ± 0.02</td>
<td>−24.66 ± 0.06</td>
<td>−14.69 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§ The values for the stoichiometry (N), binding affinity ($K_A$) and binding enthalpy ($\Delta H$) were obtained from fit to a single site model. The binding free energy ($\Delta G$) and binding entropy ($\Delta S$) were deduced based on the relationships $\Delta G = -RT\ln K_A$ and $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$, respectively. The reported errors are the standard deviation of the three replicas of each set of titrations.
### Table 3

Oligonucleotide primers used to construct *Pfu* RPP29 derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29A5-F</td>
<td>5′-ctcagtccATGGAAGAAGCGGAAATAAGAATCTTCCAG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29A13-F</td>
<td>5′-gctattccATGGGAGATCACAGGGATCG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29A17-F</td>
<td>5′-ccccggccATGGGATCGTATCAAGAAATTATTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29A24-F</td>
<td>5′-gcatttccATGGTAGAATTGCTTTGCCGAGG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29A31-F</td>
<td>5′-cctaaaccATGGGAGCTCATAGAGGATAGAAAC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29A36-F</td>
<td>5′-cttggaccATGGTAGAAGGAAGAAAGATATATGGA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29A42-F</td>
<td>5′-cgaattccATGGGCAATATAGTGACGAGAACAATAC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfuP29C127-R</td>
<td>5′-cctcaacctcgcAGTATAGTACGGAACCTAAAC-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Start and stop codons are highlighted in bold, and *Nco*I (in Forward primers, denoted as F) and *Xho*I (in Reverse primer, denoted as R) restriction sites are underlined. Uppercase letters correspond to the open reading frame.