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## Week 1: Review of foundations

## I. FOUNDATIONS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

## A. Linear vector algebra

First, we briefly recap main concepts of linear algebra that will be very important later in the course.

A vector in 3 dimensions can be represented by specifying its components $a_{i}(i=$ $1,2,3)$ with respect to a set of three mutually perpendicular unit vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a}=a_{1} \vec{e}_{1}+a_{2} \vec{e}_{2}+a_{3} \vec{e}_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} \vec{e}_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unit vectors $\vec{e}_{i}$ form a basis and are called basis vectors. The basis is complete, i.e. any three-dimensional vector can be represented as a linear combination of 3 basis vectors. Basis is not unique, we could have chosen three different mutually perpendicular vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a}=a_{1}^{\prime} \vec{\varepsilon}_{1}+a_{2}^{\prime} \vec{\varepsilon}_{2}+a_{3}^{\prime} \vec{\varepsilon}_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}^{\prime} \vec{\varepsilon}_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A vector can be represented by a column matrix. For example, in the basis $\vec{e}_{i}$, the representation is:

$$
\mathbf{a}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}  \tag{3}\\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The same vector can be represented in the basis $\vec{\varepsilon}_{i}$ as:

$$
\mathbf{a}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{4}\\
a_{2}^{\prime} \\
a_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For now we assume that we always work in the same basis.

The scalar or dot product of two vectors in the same basis is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}=a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} b_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dot product of the vector on itself is just the square of the length of the vector $(|\vec{a}|)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a} \cdot \vec{a}=a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2} \equiv|\vec{a}|^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can express the product as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{i} b_{j} \vec{e}_{i} \cdot \vec{e}_{j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we require:

$$
\vec{e}_{i} \cdot \vec{e}_{j}=\delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } i=j  \tag{8}\\ 0 & \text { for } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is a Kronecker delta. The above equation states that the basis vectors $\vec{e}_{i}$ are mutually perpendicular (orthogonal) and have unit length; in other words, orthonormal. Useful Properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{e}_{j} \cdot \vec{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} \vec{e}_{j} \cdot \vec{e}_{i}=a_{j}  \tag{9}\\
\vec{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \vec{e}_{i} \vec{e}_{i} \cdot \vec{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \vec{e}_{i} a_{i}=\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{1} \cdot \vec{a}, \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{1}$ is the unit dyadic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \vec{e}_{i} \vec{e}_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dyadic is a quantity, which when dotted into a vector gives another vector. The unit dyadic gives the same vector back.

All of the above equations can be generalized to more than 3 dimensions. In the general case of $N$ dimensions, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a}=a_{1} \vec{e}_{1}+a_{2} \vec{e}_{2}+\ldots+a_{N} \vec{e}_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} \vec{e}_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the dot product is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}=a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+\ldots+a_{N} b_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} b_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, we will work with general vector spaces of $N$ dimensions and will simplify our summation notation.

An operator is an object that when acting on a vector converts it into another vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O} \vec{a}=\vec{c} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are different types of operators, we will mostly encounter linear operators, which have the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}(x \vec{a}+y \vec{b})=x \hat{O} \vec{a}+y \hat{O} \vec{b} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A linear operator is completely determined in terms of its action on a basis vector $\vec{e}_{i}$. Since $\hat{O} \vec{e}_{i}$ is a vector and any vector can be represented as a linear combination of basis vectors, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O} \vec{e}_{i}=\sum_{j} \vec{e}_{j} O_{j i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $O_{j i}$ is the component of the vector $\hat{O} \vec{e}_{i}$ along $\vec{e}_{j}$. These numbers can be arranged in a two-dimensional array called matrix:

$$
\mathbf{O}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
O_{11} & O_{12} & \ldots & O_{1 N}  \tag{17}\\
O_{21} & O_{22} & \ldots & O_{2 N} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
O_{N 1} & O_{N 2} & \ldots & O_{N N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is also called as the matrix representation of $\hat{O}$ in the basis $\vec{e}_{i}$.

The matrix $\mathbf{O}$ completely specifies the action of the operator $\hat{O}$ in a given basis. For example, the action of $\hat{O}$ on a vector $\vec{a}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{O} \vec{a}=\sum_{i} a_{i} \hat{O} \vec{e}_{i} & =\sum_{i j} a_{i} O_{j i} \vec{e}_{j}=\sum_{j} c_{j} \vec{e}_{j}  \tag{18}\\
\sum_{i} O_{j i} a_{i} & =c_{j} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{O a}=\mathbf{c} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some important properties and definitions:

1. If $\hat{C}=\hat{A} \hat{B}$, their matrix representations are related as: $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A B}$.
2. In general, $\hat{A} \hat{B} \neq \hat{B} \hat{A}$ and $\mathbf{A B} \neq \mathbf{B A}$, the commutator is $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}]=\mathbf{A B}-\mathbf{B A}$
3. The adjoint operator $\hat{A}^{\dagger}$ of the operator $\hat{A}$ is defined such that its matrix representation $\left(\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\right)_{i j}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)_{j i}$, or equivalently $\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{\mathbf{T}}$. If $\mathbf{A}$ is real, $\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}=\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{T}}$.
4. If $\mathbf{a}$ is a column matrix, $\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}=\left(a_{1}^{*} a_{2}^{*} \ldots a_{N}^{*}\right)$ is a row matrix.
5. $(\mathbf{A B})^{\dagger}=\mathbf{B}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}$

The following properties and definitions apply only to the square matrices:
6. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is diagonal if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j}=A_{i i} \delta_{i j} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. The trace of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}=\sum_{i} A_{i i} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

8. The unit matrix $\mathbf{1}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1 A}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{A} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its elements are $(\mathbf{1})_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$.
9. The inverse of the matrix of $\mathbf{A}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A A}^{-1}=\mathbf{1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

10. A unitary matrix is the one whose inverse is its adjoint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{-\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{A}^{\dagger} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

A real unitary matrix is called orthogonal.
11. A Hermitian matrix is self-adjoint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}=\mathbf{A} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A real Hermitian matrix is called symmetric.

## B. Complex vector spaces

We now generalize the concepts of linear algebra to an $N$-dimensional space in which vectors can be complex. In analogy to the basis $\left\{\vec{e}_{i}\right\}$, we consider $N$ basis vectors denoted $|i\rangle$ called ket vectors or kets. We assume basis $|i\rangle$ is complete, so any vector can be represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}|i\rangle \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $|a\rangle$ can be represented as a column matrix a (1D-array). We also introduce a bra vector $\langle a|$ whose matrix representation is $\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}$. The product between $\langle a|$ and $|a\rangle$ is a generalization of the dot product:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle a||b\rangle \equiv\langle a \mid b\rangle=\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{b}=\left(a_{1}^{*} a_{2}^{*} \ldots a_{N}^{*}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} b_{i}  \tag{28}\\
\langle a \mid a\rangle=\sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} a_{i}=\sum_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|^{2} \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

By analogy, with real vector spaces, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle a \mid b\rangle=\sum_{i j} a_{i}^{*} b_{j}\langle i \mid j\rangle  \tag{30}\\
& \langle i \mid j\rangle=\delta_{i j} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle j \mid a\rangle=\sum_{i} a_{i}\langle j \mid i\rangle=a_{j}  \tag{32}\\
& \langle a \mid j\rangle=\sum_{i} a_{i}^{*}\langle i \mid j\rangle=a_{j}^{*}  \tag{33}\\
& \langle a \mid j\rangle=(\langle j \mid a\rangle)^{*}=\langle j \mid a\rangle^{*}  \tag{34}\\
& |a\rangle=\sum_{i} a_{i}|i\rangle=\sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i \mid a\rangle  \tag{35}\\
& \sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i|=1 \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can introduce an operator $\hat{O}$ that converts ket $|a\rangle$ into a ket $|b\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}|a\rangle=|b\rangle \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of the operator on the basis ket is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}|i\rangle=\sum_{j}|j\rangle O_{j i} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O_{j i}$ are the elements of the matrix representation $\mathbf{O}$. The elements $O_{j i}$ can be expressed using the braket notation either by multiplying with $\langle j|$ on the left ("projecting")

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle j| \hat{O}|i\rangle=\sum_{k} \delta_{j k} O_{k i}=O_{j i} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by introducing a completeness relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}|i\rangle=\sum_{j}|j\rangle\langle j| \hat{O}|i\rangle=\sum_{j}|j\rangle O_{j i} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other useful relations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{i j}=\langle i| \hat{C}|j\rangle=\langle i| \hat{A} \hat{B}|j\rangle=\sum_{k}\langle i| \hat{A}|k\rangle\langle k| \hat{B}|j\rangle=\sum_{k} A_{i k} B_{k j}  \tag{41}\\
\left(O^{\dagger}\right)_{i j}=\langle i| \hat{O}^{\dagger}|j\rangle=\langle j| \hat{O}|i\rangle^{*}=O_{j i}^{*} \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\hat{O}$ is Hermitian, the following relation is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle a| \hat{O}^{\dagger}|b\rangle=\langle a| \hat{O}|b\rangle=\langle b| \hat{O}|a\rangle^{*} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. Change of basis

Consider two orthonormal bases:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\langle i \mid j\rangle=\delta_{i j}, & \sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i|=1 \\
\langle\alpha \mid \beta\rangle=\delta_{\alpha \beta}, & \sum_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|=1 \tag{45}
\end{array}
$$

We can define a transformation matrix:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\alpha\rangle & =\sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i \mid \alpha\rangle=\sum_{i}|i\rangle U_{i \alpha}=\sum_{i}|i\rangle \mathbf{U}_{i \alpha}  \tag{46}\\
|i\rangle & =\sum_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha \mid i\rangle=\sum_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle U_{i \alpha}^{*}=\sum_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle\left(\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\right)_{\alpha i} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

We can demonstrate that the matrix $\mathbf{U}$ is unitary:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{i j}=\langle i \mid j\rangle=\sum_{\alpha}\langle i \mid \alpha\rangle\langle\alpha \mid j\rangle & =\sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{U}_{i \alpha}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\right)_{\alpha j}=\left(\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\right)_{i j}  \tag{48}\\
\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} & =\mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{1} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the two orthonormal bases are related by a unitary matrix. We can now consider how the matrix representations of an operator in two bases are related:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{O}|i\rangle & =\sum_{j}|j\rangle\langle j| \hat{O}|i\rangle=\sum_{j}|j\rangle O_{j i}  \tag{50}\\
\hat{O}|\alpha\rangle & =\sum_{\beta}|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| \hat{O}|\alpha\rangle=\sum_{\beta}|\beta\rangle \Omega_{\beta \alpha}  \tag{51}\\
\Omega_{\alpha \beta}=\langle\alpha| \hat{O}|\beta\rangle & =\sum_{i j}\langle\alpha \mid i\rangle\langle i| \hat{O}|j\rangle\langle j \mid \beta\rangle=\sum_{i j}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\right)_{\alpha i}(\mathbf{O})_{i j}(\mathbf{U})_{j \beta}  \tag{52}\\
\boldsymbol{\Omega} & =\mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \mathbf{O U}  \tag{53}\\
\mathbf{O} & =\mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

## D. Eigenvalue problem

If acting $\hat{O}$ on a vector $|\alpha\rangle$ results in the same vector multiplied by a constant we say that $|\alpha\rangle$ is an eigenvector of $\hat{O}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}|\alpha\rangle=\omega_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\omega_{\alpha}$ is called eigenvalue.
Properties:

1. The eigenvalues of a Hermitian operator are real.

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\alpha| \hat{O}|\alpha\rangle & =\langle\alpha| \hat{O}^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle=\langle\alpha| \hat{O}|\alpha\rangle^{*}  \tag{56}\\
\omega_{\alpha} & =\omega_{\alpha}^{*} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. The eigenvectors of a Hermitian operator are orthogonal.

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{O}|\beta\rangle & =\omega_{\beta}|\beta\rangle  \tag{58}\\
\langle\beta| \hat{O}^{\dagger} & =\langle\beta| \omega_{\beta}^{*}  \tag{59}\\
\langle\beta| \hat{O} & =\langle\beta| \omega_{\beta}  \tag{60}\\
\langle\beta| \hat{O}|\alpha\rangle & =\omega_{\beta}\langle\beta \mid \alpha\rangle  \tag{61}\\
\omega_{\alpha}\langle\beta \mid \alpha\rangle & =\omega_{\beta}\langle\beta \mid \alpha\rangle  \tag{62}\\
\left(\omega_{\alpha}-\omega_{\beta}\right)\langle\beta \mid \alpha\rangle & =0 \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\langle\beta \mid \alpha\rangle=0$ if $\omega_{\alpha} \neq \omega_{\beta}$. Degenerate eigenvectors can always be chosen to be orthogonal.

## 3. Matrix representation of an operator in its eigenvector basis is diagonal.

 The problem of diagonalizing a matrix $\mathbf{O}$ is equivalent to finding the unitary matrix that converts $\mathbf{O}$ into a diagonal matrix$$
\mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \mathbf{O U}=\boldsymbol{\omega}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\omega_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{64}\\
0 & \omega_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## E. Orthogonal functions, eigenfunctions, and operators

We discussed how a vector can be represented using a complete set of orthonormal basis vector. Similarly, we can represent a sufficiently well-behaved function on some interval as an infinite linear combination of orthogonal functions.

We consider an infinite set of functions $\left\{\psi_{i}(x), i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ that satisfy orthonor-
mality condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \psi_{i}^{*}(x) \psi_{j}(x)=\delta_{i j} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will drop the integration limits. We assume that any function can be expressed as a linear combination of $\left\{\psi_{i}(x)\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x)=\sum_{i} a_{i} \psi_{i}(x) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the basis $\psi_{i}(x)$ is complete. We can determine the coefficients of the linear combination as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} x \psi_{j}^{*}(x) a(x)=\sum_{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x \psi_{j}^{*}(x) \psi_{i}(x) a_{i}=\sum_{i} \delta_{j i} a_{i}=a_{j} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now insert the expression for the coefficients into the original expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x)=\int \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}\left[\sum_{i} \psi_{i}(x) \psi_{i}^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right] a\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function in square brackets is called Dirac delta function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \psi_{i}(x) \psi_{i}^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)$ is a continuous generalization of the Kronecker delta. The delta function has the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) & =\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)  \tag{70}\\
\int \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} \delta\left(x^{\prime}\right) & =1 \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

We can consider the theory of complete orthonormal functions as a generalization of ordinary linear algebra. To see the analogy, we introduce the following defintions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{i}(x) \equiv|i\rangle \quad \psi_{i}^{*}(x) \equiv\langle i|  \tag{72}\\
a(x) \equiv|a\rangle \quad a^{*}(x) \equiv\langle a|  \tag{73}\\
\int \mathrm{d} x a^{*}(x) b(x)=\langle a \mid b\rangle  \tag{74}\\
\langle i \mid j\rangle=\delta_{i j} \tag{75}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle j \mid a\rangle=a_{j} \\
|a\rangle=\sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i \mid a\rangle \tag{77}
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, we can define an operator that converts a function into another function

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{O} a(x) & =b(x)  \tag{78}\\
\hat{O}|a\rangle & =|b\rangle \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Nonlocal operator:

$$
\begin{align*}
b(x)=\hat{O} a(x) & =\int \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} O\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) a\left(x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{80}\\
b_{i} & =\sum_{j} O_{i j} a_{j} \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Eigenfunctions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{O} \phi_{\alpha}(x) & =\omega_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(x)  \tag{82}\\
\hat{O}|\alpha\rangle & =\omega_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

The beauty of the Dirac notation is that it allows to manipulate vectors and functions, as well as operators acting on them, in a formally identical way. Thus, many results obtain in linear algebra of vector spaces can be directly applied to orthonormal functions.

## F. Schrödinger equation, measurements, observables

Let us briefly review the main concepts of quantum mechanics. We will be studying molecules - quantum mechanical systems with $N$ number of particles. A system with $N$ particles is described using a wavefunction $|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle$, where $\tau$ symbolizes the $3 N$ spatial and $N$ spin coordinates, $t$ is time. Properties of $|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle$ :

- Single-valued and continuous
- Quadratically-integrable ( $\int \Psi^{*} \Psi \mathrm{~d} \tau$ is finite)

This means that $|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle$ must be well-behaved (remember requirements for expanding a function in a basis).

Each physical property corresponds to a quantum-mechanical operator. Let's assume that $|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle$ describes a quantum-mechanical system. Measurement of an
operator $\hat{O}$ gives result that is one of the eigenvalues of this operator.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{O}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle=O_{i}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we say that the measurement collapses the wavefunction of the system $(|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle)$ onto one of the eigenvectors of $\hat{O}$. Taking a large number of measurements will result in different outcomes (i.e., different eigenvalues), the average measurement is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle O\rangle & =\langle\Psi| \hat{O}|\Psi\rangle=\sum_{i j}\left\langle\Psi \mid \Phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\Phi_{i}\right| \hat{O}\left|\Phi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\Phi_{j} \mid \Psi\right\rangle  \tag{85}\\
& =\sum_{i j} \delta_{i j} O_{i}\left|\left\langle\Phi_{j} \mid \Psi\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\sum_{i} O_{i}\left|\left\langle\Phi_{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\sum_{i} O_{i} P\left(O_{i}\right) \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

All operators that describe a physical system must be linear and Hermitian (recall the definitions that we discussed). Their eigenfunctions form a complete set. Thus, any wavefunction can be expanded in terms of these eigenfunctions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi\rangle=\sum_{i}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\Phi_{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle=\sum_{i}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle C_{i} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time dependence of $|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle$ is given by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial|\Psi\rangle}{\partial t}=\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}$ is the Hamiltonian (or energy) operator. For the $N$-particle system, the Hamiltonian operator is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H} & =-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\hbar}{2 m_{i}} \nabla_{i}^{2}+\hat{V}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}, z_{N}, t\right)  \tag{89}\\
\nabla_{i}^{2} & =\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{i}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i}^{2}} \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $\nabla_{i}$ is called nabla, while $\nabla_{i}^{2}$ is called Laplacian. Note that in this (non-relativistic) formulation of quantum mechanics the Hamiltonian written above does not depend on spin, while the wavefunction $|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle$ does. If the potential energy $\hat{V}$ does not depend on time, then the solution of the Schrödinger
equation will have the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle=f(t)|\Psi(\tau)\rangle \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is a function of only time. Inserting this form into the Schrödinger equation, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f(t)}=\frac{\hat{H}|\Psi(\tau)\rangle}{|\Psi(\tau)\rangle}=E \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set the right-hand side to a constant $E$ by the usual separation-ofvariables argument. We obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\hbar}{i} f^{\prime}(t) & =E f(t)  \tag{93}\\
f(t) & =A e^{\frac{-i E t}{\hbar}} \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

We also get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}|\Psi(\tau)\rangle=E|\Psi(\tau)\rangle \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the time-independent Schrödinger equation. We see that it has the form of the eigenvalue equation where $E$ is the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. Thus, $E$ are the possible energies of the system. States of the form $|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle=$ $f(t)|\Psi(\tau)\rangle$ are called stationary states. For a stationary state,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi(\tau, t)\rangle=e^{\frac{-i E t}{\hbar}}|\Psi(\tau)\rangle \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the energy of the state and $|\Psi(\tau)\rangle$ is the wavefunction of the stationary state.
When discussing operators in linear algebra, we have defined a commutator of two operators $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]=\hat{A} \hat{B}-\hat{B} \hat{A}$. If $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]=0$ we say that the two operators commute. If two Hermitian operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ commute, then it can be proven that there exists a common complete set of eigenfunctions for them. We say that the operators describe compatible observables. Let's assume that $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]=0$ and that the operator $\hat{B}$ is nondegenerate in the basis of its eigenfunctions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{B}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle & =B_{i}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{97}\\
\hat{A} \hat{B}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle-\hat{B} \hat{A}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle & =0  \tag{98}\\
\left\langle\Phi_{j}\right| \hat{A} \hat{B}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\Phi_{j}\right| \hat{B} \hat{A}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle & =0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{i}-B_{j}\right)\left\langle\Phi_{j}\right| \hat{A}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle=0 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the eigenvalues of $\hat{B}$ are nondegenerate, $\left(B_{i}-B_{j}\right) \neq 0$ if $i \neq j$. Thus, the off-diagonal matrix elements $\left\langle\Phi_{j}\right| \hat{A}\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle=0$, which means that the operator $\hat{A}$ is diagonal in the basis of $\left|\Phi_{i}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Phi_{i}\right| \hat{A}\left|\Phi_{j}\right\rangle & =\delta_{i j} A_{j}=A_{j}\left\langle\Phi_{i} \mid \Phi_{j}\right\rangle  \tag{101}\\
\hat{A}\left|\Phi_{j}\right\rangle & =A_{j}\left|\Phi_{j}\right\rangle \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

In our course, we will pay particular attention to the observables that commute with the Hamiltonian. These observables will allow us to obtain important information about solutions of the Schrödinger equation.

## G. Atomic units

To see how atomic units naturally arise, consider the Schrödinger equation for the H atom in SI units:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{e}} \nabla^{2}-\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r}\right]|\phi\rangle=\mathscr{E}|\phi\rangle \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

To cast this equation into dimensionless form, we let $x, y, z \rightarrow \lambda x^{\prime}, \lambda y^{\prime}, \lambda z^{\prime}$ and obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{e} \lambda^{2}} \nabla^{\prime 2}-\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} \lambda r^{\prime}}\right]\left|\phi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mathscr{E}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can factor out the constant in front of the kinetic and potential energy, provided we choose $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m_{e} \lambda^{2}}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} \lambda}=\mathscr{E}_{a} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{E}_{a}$ is the unit of energy called Hartree. Solving for $\lambda$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} \hbar^{2}}{m_{e} e^{2}}=a_{0} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\lambda$ is just the Bohr radius $a_{0}$ which is the atomic unit of length called Bohr. For the Schrödinger equation, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right)\left|\phi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{\mathscr{E}}{\mathscr{E}_{a}}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\left|\phi^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

