CHEM 7520:

Advanced Quantum Mechanics and Spectroscopy



Atom-Centered Basis Sets



Alexander Yu. Sokolov

Atom-Centered Basis Sets

We have introduced basis sets in the Hartree-Fock theory

Schrödinger equation	$\hat{H} \Psi(1,\ldots,N)\rangle = E \Psi(1,\ldots,N)\rangle$
Many-electron wavefunction	$ \Psi(1,\ldots,N)\rangle \approx \mathcal{A}(1,\ldots,N) \prod_{i}^{N} \psi_i(i)\rangle$
Molecular orbitals	$ \phi_i angle \ = \ \sum_{\mu}^n \ C^i_\mu \chi_\mu angle$
Atomic orbitals	$ \chi^{\rm GTO}_{\mu}(r)\rangle = N_c x^k y^l z^m e^{-\alpha_{\mu} r^2}$

Other electronic structure methods also use basis sets as "building blocks" to construct many-electron wavefunctions

Exact Wavefunction

Basis sets must be flexible enough to represent all essential features of the many-electron wavefunctions

Example: two-electron system

 e_1

r₁₂

 e_2

 \mathbf{r}_2

Hamiltonian of the 2e- system:

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} - \frac{Z}{r_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_2^2} - \frac{1}{r_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} - \frac{Z}{r_2} \\ &- \frac{r_1^2 - r_2^2 + r_{12}^2}{2r_1 r_{12}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_1 \partial r_{12}} - \frac{r_2^2 - r_1^2 + r_{12}^2}{2r_2 r_{12}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_2 \partial r_{12}} \\ &- \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_{12}^2} - \frac{2}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}} + \frac{1}{r_{12}} \end{split}$$

For a two-electron system, it is possible to compute wavefunction numerically, without using basis sets

Electron-Nuclear Cusp

Let's have a closer look at the Hamiltonian of the 2e- system:

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} - \frac{Z}{r_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_2^2} - \frac{1}{r_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} - \frac{Z}{r_2} \\ &- \frac{r_1^2 - r_2^2 + r_{12}^2}{2r_1 r_{12}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_1 \partial r_{12}} - \frac{r_2^2 - r_1^2 + r_{12}^2}{2r_2 r_{12}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_2 \partial r_{12}} \\ &- \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_{12}^2} - \frac{2}{r_{12}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}} + \frac{1}{r_{12}} \end{split}$$
 What happens when

$$\mathbf{r_1} = \mathbf{0} \text{ (or } \mathbf{r_2} = \mathbf{0})?$$

The Schrödinger equation must hold over the entire space, what is the condition to avoid a singularity at $r_1 = 0$?

$$\left(-\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} - \frac{Z}{r_1}\right)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1}|\Psi\rangle = -Z\,|\Psi\rangle$$

Exact wavefunction has a cusp at r_1 = 0!

Electron-Nuclear Cusp

Electron-nuclear cusp condition (Kato theorem):



Electron-Electron Cusp

Let's have a closer look at the Hamiltonian of the 2e- system:

We must avoid a singularity at $r_{12} = 0$:

$$\left(-\frac{2}{r_{12}}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}} + \frac{1}{r_{12}}\right)|\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{12}}|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|\Psi\rangle$$

Exact wavefunction has a cusp at r_{12} = 0!

Electron-Electron Cusp

Electron-electron cusp condition (Kato theorem):



Basis sets must be able to accurately describe electron-nuclear and electron-electron cusps

Electron-Electron Cusp in 3D



L. Kong, F.A. Bischoff, and E.F. Valeev, Chem. Rev. 112, 75 (2012)

1. Slater-type orbitals (STO's)

$$|\chi^{\rm STO}_{\mu}(r)\rangle = N_c \, x^k y^l z^m e^{-\alpha_{\mu} r}$$

- ***** Defined in Cartesian space (x, y, z)
- ***** Normalization constant: N_c
- * Angular momentum is controlled by positive integers k, l, m:

L = k + l + m

- * Radial width of the orbital is controlled by the constant α: large α gives tight orbital, small α gives diffuse orbital
- * "Hydrogen-atom-like": correct short-range and long-range behavior
- * Problem: one- and two-electron integrals that involve products of STO's are difficult to compute

2. Gaussian-type orbitals (GTO's)

$$|\chi^{\rm GTO}_{\mu}(r)\rangle = N_c \, x^k y^l z^m e^{-\alpha_{\mu} r^2}$$

- ***** Defined in Cartesian space (x, y, z)
- ***** Normalization constant: N_c
- * Angular momentum is controlled by positive integers k, l, m:

$$L = k + l + m$$

- * Radial width of the orbital is controlled by the constant α: large α gives tight orbital, small α gives diffuse orbital
- * Problem: no longer "Hydrogen-atom-like", even for 1s
- One- and two-electron integrals that involve products of GTO's are much easier to compute

3. Contracted gaussian-type orbitals (CGTO's)

- Problem: STO's are more accurate, but GTO's are more computationally efficient
- * Solution: use a linear combination of several GTO's to accurately represent an STO

$$\chi^{\rm CGTO}_{\mu}(r)\rangle = N_c \, x^k y^l z^m \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} e^{-\alpha_{\nu} r^2}$$

- CGTO's are used in almost all quantum-chemical computations
- * Coefficients c and α are kept fixed during a computation
- Optimal values of c and α are obtained from computations of isolated atoms. They are tabulated for each basis set and can be found in papers, quantum chemistry programs, or online

3. Contracted gaussian-type orbitals (CGTO's) Example: STO-1G basis set



3. Contracted gaussian-type orbitals (CGTO's) Example: STO-2G basis set



3. Contracted gaussian-type orbitals (CGTO's) Example: STO-3G basis set



- 1. Minimal:
 - ***** One basis function for each AO in an atom
 - ***** Example (N atom): 1s, 2s, 2p_x, 2p_y, 2p_z (5 basis functions)
- 2. Double-zeta (triple-zeta, quadruple-zeta, etc):
 - ***** Two (3, 4, etc) basis functions for each AO in an atom
 - Example (N atom, double-zeta):
 2 x (1s, 2s, 2p_x, 2p_y, 2p_z) (10 basis functions)
- 3. Split-valence double-zeta (triple-zeta, quadruple-zeta, etc):
 - One basis function for each core AO, two (3, 4, etc) basis functions for the valence AO's
 - Example (N atom, split-valence double-zeta):
 1s, 2 x (2s, 2p_x, 2p_y, 2p_z) (9 basis functions)

- 4. Polarized double-zeta (triple-zeta, quadruple-zeta, etc):
 - As atoms approach each other, their orbitals may want to shift to one side or the other (polarize). An s orbital can polarize if it mixes with a p orbital
 - In general, to polarize a basis function with angular momentum L (usually, valence), mix it with basis functions of angular moment L + 1
 - ***** This results in "polarized double-zeta" basis sets, etc
 - There are also polarized split-valence double-zeta basis sets (triple-zeta, etc)
 - Example (N atom, polarized double-zeta):
 2 x (1s, 2s, 2p_x, 2p_y, 2p_z), 3d_{z2}, 3d_{x2-y2}, 3d_{xy}, 3d_{xz}, 3d_{yz}
 (15 basis functions)

- 4. Polarized double-zeta (triple-zeta, quadruple-zeta, etc):
 - Some polarized basis sets contain polarization functions with very high angular momentum (L = 4, 5, 6, ...). These functions are used to model electron-electron cusp



- 4. Polarized double-zeta (triple-zeta, quadruple-zeta, etc):
 - Some polarized basis sets contain polarization functions with very high angular momentum (L = 4, 5, 6, ...). These functions are used to model electron-electron cusp



- 4. Polarized double-zeta (triple-zeta, quadruple-zeta, etc):
 - Some polarized basis sets contain polarization functions with very high angular momentum (L = 4, 5, 6, ...). These functions are used to model electron-electron cusp



- 4. Polarized double-zeta (triple-zeta, quadruple-zeta, etc):
 - Some polarized basis sets contain polarization functions with very high angular momentum (L = 4, 5, 6, ...). These functions are used to model electron-electron cusp



- 5. Diffuse basis sets:
 - * Diffuse basis sets contain one or several basis functions with small α exponents
 - This allows electron to be far away from the nucleus if it needs to
 - * Diffuse basis functions are often added in addition to polarization functions (diffuse polarized double-zeta ...)
 - Necessary for: anions, excited states, very electronegative atoms, non-bonded interactions (van der Waals complexes)
 - * Lack of diffuse functions for anions can lead to qualitatively incorrect results!

Popular Basis Sets

1. STO-nG:

- * Minimal basis sets: STO-3G, STO-6G
- 2. XZ (X = D, T, Q):
 - * DZ (double-zeta), TZ (triple-zeta), QZ (quadruple-zeta)

3. XZP:

* DZP (polarized double-zeta), TZP (polarized triple-zeta), ...

4. Pople basis sets (split-valence):

- * Double-zeta: 3-21G, 6-31G; triple-zeta: 6-311G
- * Polarized: 6-31G*, 6-31G**, 6-311G*, 6-311G**
- * Diffuse: 6-31+G, 6-31++G, 6-311+G, 6-311++G
- * Diffuse polarized: 6-31+G*, 6-31++G*, 6-31++G**
- 5. Correlation-consistent basis sets (split-valence):
 - * Polarized: cc-pVXZ (X = D, T, Q, 5, ...)
 - * Diffuse polarized: aug-cc-pVXZ (X = D, T, ...)

Basis sets can be found in the Basis Set Exchange: https://www.basissetexchange.org

Basis Set Exchange Download GitHub Feedback About Help - Request a Basis set

All roles	Select All Reset Selection		
2ZaPa-NR 2ZaPa-NR-CV 3-21G 2ZaPa-NR	¹ H		
3ZaPa-NR-CV 4-31G	3 4 Li B 6 7 8 9 9 10 10 N 10 N		
4ZaPa-NR 4ZaPa-NR-CV 5-21G	1112Na131415161718AlSiPSClAr		
5ZaPa-NR 5ZaPa-NR-CV 6-21G	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 K Ca Sc Ti V Cr Mn Fe Co Ni Cu Zn Ga Ge As Se Br Kr		
6-31++G 6-31++G* 6-31++G**	37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 Rb Sr Y Zr Nb Mo Tc Ru Rh Pd Ag Cd In Sn Sb Te I Xe		
6-31++G**-J 6-31+G 6-21+G*	55 56 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 Cs Ba Hf Ta W Re Os Ir Pt Au Hg Tl Pb Bi Po At Ra		
6-31+G*-J 6-31+G**	87 88 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 Fr Ra Idd Db Sg Bh Hs Mt Ds Rg Cn Nh Fl Mc Lv Ts Og		
6-311++G 6-311++G(2d,2p) 6-311++G(3df,3pd) 6-311++C*	57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 La Ce Pr Nd Pm Sm Eu Gd Tb Dy Ho Er Tm Yb Lu		
search basis sets	89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 Ac Th Pa U Np Pu Am Cm Bk Cf Es Fm Md No Lr		
References for selected basis Download basis set			
Plain Text 🗢 Get References	Format NWChem Image: Get Basis Set Advanced		

$$|\chi^{\rm CGTO}_{\mu}(r)\rangle = N_c \, x^k y^l z^m \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} e^{-\alpha_{\nu} r^2}$$



Minimal basis: one s basis function composed of 3 primitive GTO's

$$|\chi^{\rm CGTO}_{\mu}(r)\rangle = N_c \, x^k y^l z^m \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} e^{-\alpha_{\nu} r^2}$$

H atom, DZ:



Double-zeta basis:

one s basis function composed of 3 primitive GTO's plus one s basis function composed of 1 primitive GTO

N atom, DZ:

Ν	0	
S	6 1.00	
	5909.4400000	0.0020040
	887.4510000	0.0153100
	204.7490000	0.0742930
	59.8376000	0.2533640
	19.9981000	0.6005760
	2.6860000	0.2451110
S	1 1.00	
	7.1927000	1.0000000
S	1 1.00	
	0.7000000	1.0000000
S	1 1.00	
	0.2133000	1.0000000
Ρ	4 1.00	
	26.7860000	0.0182570
	5.9564000	0.1164070
	1.7074000	0.3901110
	0.5314000	0.6372210
Ρ	1 1.00	
	0.1654000	1.0000000

Double-zeta basis What is the number of basis functions per N atom?

N atom, cc-pVDZ:

What basis set class is this?

Ν	0	
S	9 1.00	
	9046.0000000	0.0007000
	1357.0000000	0.0053890
	309.3000000	0.0274060
	87.7300000	0.1032070
	28.5600000	0.2787230
	10.2100000	0.4485400
	3.8380000	0.2782380
	0.7466000	0.0154400
	0.2248000	-0.0028640
S	9 1.00	
	9046.0000000	-0.0001530
	1357.0000000	-0.0012080
	309.3000000	-0.0059920
	87.7300000	-0.0245440
	28.5600000	-0.0674590
	10.2100000	-0.1580780
	3.8380000	-0.1218310
	0.7466000	0.5490030
	0.2248000	0.5788150
S	1 1.00	
	0.2248000	1.0000000
Ρ	4 1.00	
	13.5500000	0.0399190
	2.9170000	0.2171690
	0.7973000	0.5103190
	0.2185000	0.4622140
Ρ	1 1.00	
_	0.2185000	1.0000000
D	1 1.00	
	0.8170000	1.0000000

What is the number of basis functions per N atom?

Cartesian vs Spherical Functions

$$|\chi^{\rm CGTO}_{\mu}(r)\rangle = N_c \, x^k y^l z^m \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} e^{-\alpha_{\nu} r^2}$$

In Cartesian space, there are 6 functions with L = 2:

 $k+l+m=2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{x^2} \quad d_{y^2} \quad d_{z^2} \quad d_{xz} \quad d_{xy} \quad d_{yz}$

But there are only five d-orbitals! Why?

Orbitals are spherically-symmetric! Six functions with L = 2 form a basis for five d-orbitals and one s-orbital!

 $d_{x^2} + d_{y^2} + d_{z^2}$ behaves like an s orbital

For L = 3, there are 10 Cartesian and 7 spherical functions

Some programs work with Cartesian functions (6d, 10f), while some use spherical (5d, 7f)

Basis Set Convergence

Convergence of bond distances with the basis set



Figure 1. Convergence of CCSD(T) r_e and ω_e toward the CBS limit derived for valence-only (cc-pVNZ) basis sets.

B. Temelso, E.F. Valeev, and C.D. Sherrill, J. Phys. Chem. A 108, 3068 (2004)

Basis Set Convergence

Convergence of vibrational frequencies with the basis set

cc-pVXZ (X = D, T, Q, 5, 6) basis set



Figure 1. Convergence of CCSD(T) r_e and ω_e toward the CBS limit derived for valence-only (cc-pVNZ) basis sets.

B. Temelso, E.F. Valeev, and C.D. Sherrill, J. Phys. Chem. A 108, 3068 (2004)

Basis Set Convergence: Methods

Different methods show different convergence with basis set

cc-pCVXZ (X = D, T, Q) basis set



FIG. 2. Normal distributions of errors in the calculated bond distances (pm). For ease of comparison, all distributions have been normalized to one and plotted against the same horizontal and vertical scales.

Basis Sets: General Comments

- The bigger the basis, the better? Usually yes, but for some methods increasing the basis can make the results worse (loss of error cancellation)
- For some methods (e.g., HF or DFT), basis set convergence is faster than for others (e.g., coupled cluster)
- It is usually better to start with a modest basis set and work your way up, monitoring the basis set convergence
- * Minimal basis sets should only be used for test purposes
- For accurate results, basis set should be at least doublezeta (ideally, triple-zeta) quality with polarization functions
- ***** Diffuse functions must be used for anions, excited states
- There are many basis sets out there, some may be better than others. Make sure you test them before you use them